arXiv:1611.03465v1 [math.RT] 10 Nov 2016

COMBINATORICS OF CANONICAL BASES REVISITED: TYPE A

VOLKER GENZ, GLEB KOSHEVOY, AND BEA SCHUMANN

Abstract. We initiate a new approach to the study of the combinatorics of several parametrizations of canonical bases. In this work we deal with Lie algebras of type A. Using geometric objects called Rhombic tilings we derive a "crossing formula" to compute the actions of the crystal operators on Lusztig data for an arbitrary reduced word of the longest Weyl group element. We provide the following three applications of this result. Using the tropical Chamber Ansatz of Berenstein-Fomin-Zelevinsky we prove an enhanced version of the Anderson-Mirković conjecture for the crystal structure on MV polytopes. We establish a duality between Kashiwara's string and Lusztig's parametrization, revealing that each of them is controlled by the crystal structure of the other. We identify the potential functions of the unipotent radical of SL_n defined by Berenstein-Kazhdan and Gross-Hacking-Keel-Kontsevich, respectively, with a function arising from the crystal structure on Lusztig data.

Contents

Introduction 2
Acknowledgment 4
1. Rhombic tilings and Lusztig data 4
1.1. Notations 4
1.2. Rhombic tilings 4
1.3. Tilings associated to reduced words 6
1.4. Lusztig's parametrization of the canonical basis 7
1.5. Kashiwara involution on Lusztig's parametrization 8
2. A Crossing Formula 8
2.1. Poset of a-crossings 9
2.2. Degeneration of combs 10
2.3. Crossing Formula 13
2.4. The Dual Crossing Formula and highest weight crystals 18
3. Application 1 : The Crossing Formula applied to MV-polytopes 19
3.1. MV polytopes and BZ data 19
3.2. The Anderson-Mirković conjecture in type A 20
4. Application 2: A duality between Lusztig's and Kashiwara's parametrization 21
4.1. String parametrizations 21
4.2. Transformation behaviour of Reineke vectors 22
4.3. A Duality of cones 23
5. Application 3: Potential functions for cluster varieties and crystal operations 24
5.1. Unipotent radicals as cluster varieties 25
5.2. Berenstein-Kazhdan potential functions and Reineke vectors 26
5.3. Gross-Hacking-Keel-Kontsevich potential functions and Reineke vectors 27
References 29

Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{n}^-$ its negative part in the Cartan decomposition. Bases of PBW type for the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{n}^-$ play an important role in the study of representations of $\mathfrak{g}$. Passing to the negative part of its quantized enveloping algebra U_q^- it is a priori unclear how to define an analogue of a PBW-type basis in this setting. This is due to the fact that, unlike for the usual universal enveloping algebra, $\mathfrak{n}^-$ is not naturally contained in U_q^-.

Lusztig solved this problem by introducing so-called braid group operators which he used to construct a basis given by ordered monomials of root vectors F_β, one for each positive root β, depending on a choice of convex ordering of the positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. He noticed that the $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-lattice $\mathcal{L}$ spanned by these basis vectors is independent of the choice of ordering, as is the induced basis $\overline{B}$ of the quotient $\mathcal{L}/q\mathcal{L}$. He discovered in [L90] a remarkable basis $\mathbf{B}$ of U_q^- given by the unique lift of $\overline{B}$ which is stable under the $\mathbb{Q}-$ involution of U_q^- preserving its Chevalley generators and sending q to q^{-1}. The basis $\mathbf{B}$, called the canonical basis of U_q^-, has remarkable properties. For example let $V(\lambda)$ be a finite dimensional irreducible U_q-module of highest weight λ and let v_λ be a highest weight vector of $V(\lambda)$. The set $\{bv_\lambda \mid b \in \mathbf{B}, bv_\lambda \neq 0\}$ forms a basis of $V(\lambda)$ called the canonical basis of $V(\lambda)$.

The fact that the canonical basis is in natural bijection with any PBW-type basis leads to piecewise linear combinatorics. Fixing a convex ordering $\leq$ we can think of a PBW-type basis element b as the tuple of exponents of its root vectors, ordered with respect to $\leq$. This element of $\mathbb{N}^N$, where N is the number of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, is called the $\leq$-Lusztig datum of b. On the set of all $\leq$-Lusztig data we have a crystal structure that can be seen as a colored graph structure of the canonical basis using the bijection between $\mathbf{B}$ and the PBW-type basis with respect to $\leq$. The vertices of the crystal graph are given by the $\leq$-Lusztig data and the arrows are induced by Kashiwara operators f_a, one for every simple root α_a. We refer to [K94] for background on the theory of crystal graphs. The action of f_a on a $\leq$-Lusztig datum is given explicitly if α_a is the $\leq$-minimal positive root. In general the computation of f_a is difficult since it involves a composition of piecewise linear maps.

We aim to give a formula for the computation of f_a that works for all choices of convex orderings. In this paper we solve this problem for simple Lie algebras of type A. We assume from now on that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let I be the index set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. For each $a \in I$ and each convex order $\leq$ of the positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ we define in Section 2.1 finitely many sequences $\gamma = (\gamma_j)$ of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ with certain properties that we call a-crossings. These sequences come with an order relation $\preceq$. To each a-crossing γ and each $\leq$-Lusztig datum x we define an integer $F_a(x, \gamma)$. We further assign a vector $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ to each a-crossing γ. Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 0.1 (Crossing Formula (Theorem 2.11))**.** *For a $\leq$-Lusztig datum x let γ be $\preceq$ maximal such that $F_a(x, \gamma)$ is maximal. Then $f_a x = x + [\gamma]$. Furthermore, the set of all γ with $f_a x - x = [\gamma]$ can be described explicitly.*

Our work was inspired by the results of [R97]. Here Reineke obtained an analogue of our Crossing Formula for convex orderings adapted to Dynkin quivers satisfying a homological condition. This was achieved using Ringel's Hall algebra which is isomorphic to U_q^-. In the Hall algebra the PBW-type bases for convex ordering are given naturally in terms of representations of quivers. However for PBW-type basis with respect to convex ordering $\leq$ that are not adapted to a quiver are no comparable techniques available. We will show in [GKS] that Theorem 2.11 specializes to Reineke's description of f_a in the case of convex orderings adapted to quivers of type A. Therefore our result is a generalization of [R97] and we obtain a purely combinatorial proof of Reineke's results in type A.

Since a $\leq$-Lusztig datum is given by a vector in $\mathbb{N}^N$, the action of the Kashiwara operator is given by adding a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^N$. We call vectors of the form $f_a x - x$ for an $i \in I$ and a $\leq$-Lusztig datum x a Reineke vector. These vectors have a precise meaning in several other parametrizations of canonical bases. The rest of this work is concerned with this relationship.

In Section 3 we deal with Mirković Vilonen (MV) polytopes. These are momentum polytopes associated to Mirković Vilonen cycles, certain subvarieties of the affine Grassmannian. On the other hand each MV polytope packs together all Lusztig data for varying convex orderings that are in bijection with a fixed canonical basis element. Each Lusztig datum can be read of from a distinguished path in the 1-skeleton of the polytope. This defines a crystal isomorphism between the crystal structure on MV polytopes and the crystal structure on any fixed $\leq$-Lusztig data ([K07]). An explicit description of the action of the Kashiwara operators on MV polytopes is desirable since it would lead to an inductive procedure to generate all such polytopes. Anderson and Mirković conjectured such a description. This conjecture was proven by Kamnitzer ([K07]) and subsequently Saito ([S12]) in type A. While Kamnitzer gave a counterexample in type C it remains an open question in simply-laced types. Using our description of Reineke vectors in Theorem 2.11 we prove a stronger statement and obtain a new proof of the Anderson-Mirković conjecture for type A which provides a conceptual explanation.

Let N be the unipotent radical of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. There are two natural ways to realize an irreducible highest weight representation V of $\mathfrak{g}$. The representation V appears as a quotient of $U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ but also canonically as a subspace of the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{N}]$ of N which can be identified with the graded dual $U(\mathfrak{n}^-)^*$. This leads to another parametrizations of $\mathbf{B}$ in terms of string data following work of Kashiwara, Berenstein-Zelevinsky and Littelmann ([K93], [BZ93], [L98]). This string parametrization, encoded in the string cone, can be thought of more naturally as a parametrization of the dual basis $\mathbf{B}^*$.

On the one hand the actions of the Kashiwara operators on the string cone are given by an explicit formula while the inequalities of this cone seem intricate. On the other hand the cone corresponding to Lusztig data is the standard cone given by the positive orthant while the actions of the Kashiwara operators seem mysterious. In the Crossing Formula (Theorem 2.11) we establish a formula for the actions of the Kashiwara operators on any $\leq$-Lusztig datum in the flavor of the description on string cones. In Section 4 we show this comparison goes deeper. The vectors describing the actions of the Kashiwara operators on the one cone give the defining inequalities of the other and vice versa. We obtain this result by attaching a Laurent polynomial $r_{\leq} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_\beta \mid \beta \in \Phi^+]$ to the set of Reineke vectors associated to convex ordering $\leq$. Surprisingly these function transforms under the geometric lifting of the piecewise-linear transformation of the string cone defined by Berenstein and Zelevinsky in [BZ01].

In Section 5 we identify $r_{\leq}$ with two potential functions on N appearing in the current literature. The first potential function f_χ was defined by Berenstein and Kazhdan in the context of geometric crystals in [BK07] and the other potential function W by Gross, Hacking, Keel and Kontsevich in the context of mirror duality for cluster varieties in [GHKK14]. Each convex ordering $\leq$ on Φ^+ defines a cluster in the coordinate ring of N and thereby an open embedding of a torus $\mathbf{T}_{\leq}$ into N. We show that $r_{\leq}$ coincides (up to an explicit isomorphism) with the restrictions of f_χ and W to any $\mathbf{T}_{\leq}$. Using this identification and the representation theoretical interpretation of $r_{\leq}$ via the Crossing Formula, we obtain explicit formulas for W and f written in the corresponding cluster coordinates. By this description we prove structural results about the potential functions (see Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.7). In particular, using the relation to the function $r_{\leq}$, the enhanced version of the Anderson-Mirković conjecture proved in Section 3 implies that the Landau-Ginzburg

potential function defined by Gross, Hacking, Keel and Kontsevich restricted to any $\mathbf{T}_{\leq}$ is a polynomial with all coefficients and exponents equal to 0 or 1. As another consequence we obtain that the cones attached to the tropicalizations of the potential functions equal the string cones (up to a change of coordinates).

Acknowledgment

We are very grateful to Jacinta Torres for sharing an observation that led to this collaboration. This project was initiated during G.K.'s visit at the MPIM Bonn which he thanks for financial support. The project was finalized during B.S.'s visit at the University of Tokyo. V.G. and B.S. would like to thank Yoshihisa Saito for some explanation about MV-polytopes that inspired Section 3. We would also like to thank Xin Fang and Hironori Oya for some very helpful comments. G.K. was supported by the grant RSF 16-11- 10075. B.S. was supported by a JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship for Foreign Researchers and partially supported by the DFG Priority program Darstellungstheorie 1388.

1. Rhombic tilings and Lusztig data

1.1. **Notations.** Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the Lie algebra of type A_{n-1} and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ the Cartan subalgebra consisting of the diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{g}$. For $s \in [n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ let $\epsilon_s \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the functional such that $\epsilon_s(\mathrm{diag}(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n)) = h_s$. The set Φ^+ of positive roots in $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\Phi^+ = \{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_t \mid 1 \leq s < t \leq n\}.$$

We abbreviate by $N := \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ the cardinality of Φ^+. Let $a \in [n-1]$, we denote by $\alpha_a = \epsilon_a - \epsilon_{a+1}$ the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ and write $\alpha_{s,t} = \epsilon_s - \epsilon_{t+1}$. The fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{g}$ are given by $\omega_a = \sum_{s \in [a]} \epsilon_s$.

We denote by U_q^- the negative part of the quantized enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with generators F_a by $B(\infty)$ its crystal basis and by f_a, e_a the corresponding Kashiwara-operators.

Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ which is naturally isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_n$, the symmetric group in n letters. The group W is generated by the simple reflections σ_i ($i \in [n-1]$) interchanging i and $i+1$ with relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i^2 &= id, \\ \sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_2} &= \sigma_{i_2}\sigma_{i_1} \qquad \text{for } |i_1 - i_2| \geq 2 \quad \text{(commutation relation)}, \\ \sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_2}\sigma_{i_1} &= \sigma_{i_2}\sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_2} \qquad \text{for } |i_1 - i_2| = 1 \quad \text{(braid relation)}. \end{aligned}$$

The Weyl group W has a unique longest element w_0 of length N. For a reduced expression $\sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_2}\cdots\sigma_{i_N}$ of w_0 we write $\mathbf{i} := (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$ and call $\mathbf{i}$ a *reduced word* (for w_0). We call a total ordering $\leq$ on Φ^+ *convex* if whenever $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_1+\beta_2 \in \Phi^+$ we have either $\beta_1 \leq \beta_1+\beta_2 \leq \beta_2$ or $\beta_2 \leq \beta_1+\beta_2 \leq \beta_1$. The set of total convex ordering is in natural bijection with the set of reduced words. Namely, for a reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$ the total ordering $\leq_{\mathbf{i}}$ on Φ^+ given by

$$\alpha_{i_1} \leq_{\mathbf{i}} s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}) \leq_{\mathbf{i}} \dots \leq_{\mathbf{i}} s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_{N-1}}(\alpha_{i_N}) \tag{1}$$

is convex and every convex ordering on Φ^+ arises that way.

1.2. **Rhombic tilings.** Our main combinatorical tool are rhombic tilings, which are geometric objects corresponding to commutation equivalence classes of convex orderings on Φ^+. Let P_0 be a regular $2n$-gon with side length 1. By a *(rhombic) tiling* $\mathcal{T}$ we mean a tiling of P_0 into rhombi (*tiles*) with side length 1. We label the edges on the left boundary of P_0 consecutively starting with the lowest vertex v_0 of P_0 and proceeding clockwise. This induces a labeling of all edges in $\mathcal{T}$ such that parallel edges have the same label.

Example 1.1. We give an example for $n = 5$. Since all other edge labels are determined by the labels of the edges lying on the left boundary of P_0 we omit them.



We call a connected set of edges in $\mathcal{T}$ a *border* if it contains exactly one edge with each label. Fixing $s \in [2n]$ we define a partition

$$\bigsqcup_{\kappa} \mathcal{T}_\kappa = \mathcal{T} \tag{2}$$

of the tiles in $\mathcal{T}$ as follows. Let $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2n}$ be the edges of the boundary of P_0 in clockwise order starting from v_0. Let B_1 be the border consisting of the edges $b_{n+s+1}, b_{n+s+2}, \dots, b_{2n+s}$ where we read the indices modulo $2n$. Let $\mathcal{T}_1$ be the set of all tiles in $\mathcal{T}$ intersecting B_1 in two edges (a tile of this form always exists by [E97, Lemma 2.1]). We change the border B_1 into the new border B_2 by replacing for every tile $T \in \mathcal{T}_1$ the two edges intersecting B_1 by the other two edges of T. We define $\mathcal{T}_2$ as the set of all tiles in $\mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{T}_1$ intersection B_2 with two edges. We proceed inductively until B_k consists only of edges lying on the boundary of P_0.

Example 1.2. We continue with Example 1.1 and denote by $1 \le t < u \le n$ the unique tile T by $[t, u]$ which has edges with labels in the set $\{t, u\}$. The partition of the tiles with respect to $s = 5$ is

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{[2,3]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_2 = \{[1,3]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_3 = \{[1,2]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_4 = \{[1,4]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_5 = \{[1,5]\},$$
$$\mathcal{P}_6 = \{[4,5]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_7 = \{[2,5]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_8 = \{[3,5],[2,4]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_9 = \{[3,4]\}.$$

The partition of the tiles with respect to $s = 3$ is

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{[2,3]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_2 = \{[1,3]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_3 = \{[1,2],[3,5]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_4 = \{[2,5],[3,4]\}$$
$$\mathcal{P}_5 = \{[2,4]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_6 = \{[4,5]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_7 = \{[1,4]\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_8 = \{[1,5]\}.$$

Definition 1.3. A sequence $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ of tiles in $\mathcal{T}$ is called *neighbour sequence* if for all i with $1 \le i < m-1$ the tiles γ_i and γ_{i+1} share an edge. For $s \in [n]$ we define the *s-strip* $\mathcal{L}^s$ to be the neighbour sequence $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{1 \le i \le n-1}$ consisting of all tiles with edges labeled by s oriented by requiring that γ_1 intersects the left boundary of P_0.

Example 1.4. We continue with Example 1.1 and depict the 2-strip $\mathcal{L}^2$.



For two neighbour sequences $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{1\le i\le m}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{1\le i\le m'}$ we define

$$\gamma \circ \lambda := \begin{cases} (\rho_i)_{1\le i\le m+m'-1} & \text{if } \gamma_1 = \lambda_{m'}, \\ \varnothing & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\rho_i := \begin{cases} \lambda_i & \text{if } i < m', \\ \gamma_{i-m'} & \text{if } i > m'. \end{cases}$$

We further define

$$-\gamma := (\gamma_{m-i+1})_{1\le i\le m}\,.$$

Definition 1.5. For $s \in [2n]$ a neighbour sequence $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \subset \mathcal{T}$ is called *s-ascending* if for $1 \le i < m-1$

$$\kappa(\gamma_i) < \kappa(\gamma_{i+1}),$$

where $\kappa(\gamma_i)$ means that $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa$ for the partition defined in (2).

Definition 1.6. For $s \in [2n]$ we define a partial ordering $\le_s$ on $\mathcal{T}$ by setting for $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$

$$T_1 \le_s T_2 \Leftrightarrow \exists\ s\text{-ascending neighbour sequence } (\gamma_i)_{1\le i\le m} \text{ with } T_1 = \gamma_1, T_2 = \gamma_m.$$

1.3. **Tilings associated to reduced words.** For a vertex v of $\mathcal{T}$ we consider a minimal path of edges connecting the lowest vertex v_0 and v. The set S containing the labels of the edges of such a minimal path is independent of the path and we write $v = v_S$. We denote a tile T with vertices v_S, $v_{S\cup\{t\}}$, $v_{S\cup\{t,u\}}$, $v_{S\cup\{u\}}$ by $T = [t, u; S]$. For a fixed tiling $\mathcal{T}$ and $t, u \in [n]$ with $t \ne u$ there exists a unique tile $T = [t, u; S] = [t, u; S] \in \mathcal{T}$ which we denote by $[t, u]$ or by $[t, u]_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Example 1.7. We continue with example 1.1 and depict the vertex $v_{\{2,3,4\}}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ and the tile $T = [4, 5; \{2, 3\}]$.



Using [E97, Theorem 2.2] we associate to a reduced decomposition $\mathbf{i}$ (see Section 1.1) a tiling $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ as follows. Under the Identification of the tiles with the positive roots Φ^+ via the map for $s < t$ defined by

$$[s, t] \mapsto \alpha_{s,t-1}. \tag{3}$$

we define $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ to be the unique tiling such that the total ordering $\le_\mathbf{i}$ (see (1)) on Φ^+ is a refinement of $\le_n$ (see Definition 1.6) to a total order.

Assume that $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$, $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N)$ are two reduced words such their corresponding reduced expressions differ by a braid relation $\sigma_{i_k}\sigma_{i_{k+1}}\sigma_{i_{k+2}} = \sigma_{i_{k+1}}\sigma_{i_k}\sigma_{i_{k+1}}$. Then $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{j}$ are obtained from each other by replacing a subtiling of the regular 6-gon $\mathcal{H} = \{[s, t; S], [s, u; S \cup \{t\}], [t, u; S]\}$ by a subtiling of the regular 6-gon $\mathcal{H}' = \{[t, u; S \cup \{s\}], [s, u; S], [s, t; S \cup \{u\}]$ as depicted as follows.



We call a subtiling which is a tiling of the regular 6-gon a *hexagon* $\mathcal{H}$. We call the replacement of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ with the unique different tiling of the 6-gon a *flip of* $\mathcal{T}$ at the involved tiles.

Example 1.8. We depicture a flip of the tiling $\mathcal{T}_{(1,2,3,1,2,1)}$ at the hexagon given by the tiles $[2,3],[2,4],[3,4]$ yielding the tiling $\mathcal{T}_{(1,2,3,2,1,2)}$.



1.4. **Lusztig's parametrization of the canonical basis.** Using braid group operators Lusztig defined in [L90] for each reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$ a PBW-type basis of U_q^-

$$B_{\mathbf{i}} = \left\{ F_{\mathbf{i},\beta_1}^{(x_{\beta_1})} F_{\mathbf{i},\beta_2}^{(x_{\beta_2})} \cdots F_{i,\beta_N}^{(x_{\beta_N})} \mid (x_{\beta_1}, x_{\beta_2}, \dots, x_{\beta_N}) \in \mathbb{N}^N \right\},$$

where $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_N\} = \Phi^+$, $\beta_1 \leq_{\mathbf{i}} \beta_2 \leq_{\mathbf{i}} \dots \leq_{\mathbf{i}} \beta_N$, $F_{\mathbf{i},\beta_j} = T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_{j-1}} F_j$ is given via the braid group action T_i defined in [Lu90, Section1.3], $X^{(m)}$ is the q-divided power defined by $X^{(m)} := \frac{X^m}{[m][m-1]\cdots[2]}$ and $[m] := q^{m-1} + q^{m-2} + \dots + q^{-m+1}$.

Definition 1.9. For $x = (x_{\beta_1}, x_{\beta_2}, \dots, x_{\beta_N}) \in \mathbb{N}^N$, we denote the element $F_{\mathbf{i},\beta_1}^{(x_{\beta_1})} F_{\mathbf{i},\beta_2}^{(x_{\beta_2})} \cdots F_{i,\beta_N}^{(x_{\beta_N})}$ by F^x and call x its $\mathbf{i}$-*Lusztig datum*. Using the identification of the positive roots with tiles in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ as in (3), we write $x = (x_T) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$.

If $\mathbf{j}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{i}$ by replacing the subword (i_k, i_{k+1}) by (i_{k+1}, i_k) with $\sigma_{i_k}, \sigma_{i_{k+1}}$ commuting we define a bijection $\Phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}} \to \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{j}}}$ interchanging the corresponding entries in the $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig data (note that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{j}}$ is the same tiling with a different choice of convex ordering on Φ^+).

If $\mathbf{j}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{i}$ by a braid move that corresponds to a flip at $\{[s,t],[s,u],[t,u]\} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$, we define a bijection $\Phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}} \to \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{j}}}$ as follows. We set $y = \Phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} x$, where $y_T = x_T$ for $T \notin \{[s,t],[s,u],[t,u]\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} y_{[s,t]} &= x_{[s,t]} + x_{[s,u]} - \min(x_{[s,t]}, x_{[t,u]}), \\ y_{[s,u]} &= \min(x_{[s,t]}, x_{[t,u]}), \\ y_{[\ell,t]} &= x_{[t,u]} + x_{[s,u]} - \min(x_{[s,t]}, x_{[t,u]}). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Since any reduced word $\mathbf{j}$ can be obtained from any other reduced word $\mathbf{j}$ be a sequence of commutation- and braid moves, we obtain a piecewise-linear bijection $\Phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}} \to \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{j}}}$ from the set of $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig data to the set of $\mathbf{j}$-Lusztig data by taking the composition of the piecewise-linear bijections defined for the single moves.

By [L90] $B_{\mathbf{i}}$ is basis of U_q^-. The $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-lattice $\mathfrak{L}$ spanned by $B_{\mathbf{i}}$ is independent of the choice of reduced expression $\mathbf{i}$, as is the induced basis $B := \pi(B_{\mathbf{i}})$ of $\mathfrak{L}/q\mathfrak{L}$, where $\pi : \mathfrak{L} \to \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}$ is the canonical projection. There exists a unique basis $\mathbf{B}$ of $\mathfrak{L}$ whose image under π is B and which is stable under the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra automorphism preserving the generators of U_q^- and sending q to q^{-1}. Therefore $\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ has a crystal structure isomorphic to $B(\infty)$ (see [L93, BZ01]). It is given as follows.

Definition 1.10. Let $a \in [n-1]$ and let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$. We define $f_a x, e_a x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}}$ and $\varepsilon_a(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ as follows. If $a = i_1$ then for $x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}}$, $T \in \mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$

$$(f_a x)_T = \begin{cases} x_T + 1 & \text{if } T = [a, a+1] \\ x_T & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$(e_a x)_T = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_{[a,a+1]} = 0, \\ x_T - 1 & \text{if } T = [a, a+1] \text{ and } x_{[a,a+1]} > 0, \\ x_T & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon_a(x) = x_{[a,a+1]}.$$

If $a \neq i_1$, we define

$$f_a x = \Phi^\mathbf{j}_\mathbf{i} f_a \Phi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j} x$$
$$e_a x = \Phi^\mathbf{j}_\mathbf{i} e_a \Phi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j} x$$
$$\varepsilon_a(x) = \varepsilon_a(\Phi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j} x).$$

1.5. **Kashiwara involution on Lusztig's parametrization.** Let $*$ be the anti-automorphism of U_q^- preserving the generators F_a. By [K94, Theorem 8.1], we have $B(\infty)^* = B(\infty)$. Therefore this anti-automorphism yields an involution on the crystal basis called *Kashiwara involution*.

For $x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}}$ and $a \in [n-1]$ we define the operators $f_a^* x = (f_a x^*)^*$, $e_a^* x = (e_a x^*)^*$ and the function $\varepsilon_a^*(x) = \varepsilon_a(x^*)$. This equips the set $\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}}$ with a crystal structure isomorphic to $B(\infty)^*$. Explicitly, this structure is given as follows (see e.g. [BZ01]).

Definition 1.11. Let $a \in [n-1]$ and $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$. If $a = i_N$ then for $x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}}$, $T \in \mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$

$$(f_a^* x)_T = \begin{cases} x_T + 1 & \text{if } T = [a, a+1] \\ x_T & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$(e_a^* x)_T = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_{[a,a+1]} = 0, \\ x_T - 1 & \text{if } T = [a, a+1] \text{ and } x_{[a,a+1]} > 0, \\ x_T & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon_a^*(x) = x_{[a,a+1]}.$$

Furthermore, for two reduced words $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ we have

$$f_a^* x = \Phi^\mathbf{j}_\mathbf{i} f_a^* \Phi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j} x$$
$$e_a^* x = \Phi^\mathbf{j}_\mathbf{i} e_a^* \Phi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j} x$$
$$\varepsilon_a^*(x) = \varepsilon_a^*(\Phi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j} x).$$

2. A Crossing Formula

In this section we derive a "Crossing Formula" for the computations of the action the Kashiwara operator f_a on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}}$. For this we introduce in 2.1 a certain poset of crossings related to the Kashiwara operators. In Section 2.2 we provide some preparatory results needed in the proof of the formula. In Section 2.3 we state and prove the Crossing Formula. In Section 2.4 we prove a dual Crossing Formula for the crystal structure on Lusztig data induced by the Kashiwara involution and describe the explicit embedding of the highest weight crystal $B(\lambda)$ into $B(\infty)$ in terms of Lusztig data.

2.1. **Poset of a-crossings.** Let $a \in [n-1]$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be a tiling of the regular $2n$-gon. With the notation of Definition 1.5 the main objects encoding the operation of the Kashiwara operators are *a-crossings* which are defined to be a-ascending neighbour sequences $(\gamma_i)_{1\le i\le m} \subset \mathcal{T}$ starting at $\gamma_1 = \mathcal{L}_1^a$ and ending at $\gamma_m = \mathcal{L}_1^{a+1}$. Any such neighbour sequence is uniquely determined by its associated *strip sequence* $(s_1, \dots, s_M)$, which is defined by

$$\gamma = \gamma^1 \circ \cdots \circ \gamma^M, \quad \gamma^i \subset \mathcal{L}^{s_i}, \quad s_i \neq s_{i+1},\ s_1 = a,\ s_M = a+1. \tag{5}$$

We therefore use the notations $(\gamma_i) \subset \mathcal{T}$ and $(s_i) \subset [n]$ interchangeably to denote an a-crossing.

Example 2.1. We continue with Example 1.1 and depict a 3-crossing γ in $\mathcal{T}$ given by the tiles surrounding the line drawn below, that is $\gamma = ([2,3],[3,1],[1,2],[2,5],[2,4],[4,5],[4,1])$.The associated strip sequence is $\gamma = (3,1,2,4)$.



We introduce an order $\preceq$ on the set Γ_a of a-crossings as follows. Since $\le_a$ defined in Definition 1.6 is anti-symmetric, $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$ cannot contain cycles. Thus, the set $\mathcal{T} \setminus \{T \mid T \in \gamma\}$ is partitioned into the set of tiles lying on the left of γ and the set of tiles lying on the right of γ. We denote the set consisting of those $T \in \mathcal{T}$ which lie left of γ by $\overline{\gamma}$ and define for $\gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma_a$

$$\mathring{\gamma} := \overline{\gamma} - \gamma,$$
$$\gamma \preceq \lambda :\Leftrightarrow \overline{\gamma} \subseteq \overline{\lambda} \wedge \mathring{\gamma} \subseteq \mathring{\lambda}.$$

We show that $\preceq$ turns Γ_a into a poset:

Proposition 2.2. *The relation $\preceq$ on Γ_a is an order relation.*

Proof. Clearly, $\preceq$ is reflexive and transitive. For $\gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma_a$ we have

$$\gamma \preceq \lambda \preceq \gamma \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \overline{\gamma} = \overline{\lambda} \wedge \mathring{\gamma} = \mathring{\lambda} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma = \overline{\gamma} - \mathring{\gamma} = \overline{\lambda} - \mathring{\lambda} = \lambda,$$

where the equalities are equalities of sets. Since γ and λ are a-ascending, $\gamma = \lambda$ also holds as an equality of neighbour sequences. Thus, $\preceq$ is anti-symmetric. □

We illustrate the poset Γ_a in an example.

Example 2.3. We continue with our running example and depict the poset of 3-crossings in $\mathcal{T}$ given in Example 1.1. Each crossing is given by the tiles surrounding the blue lines.



There is a unique maximal element in Γ_a is given by the strip sequence $(a, a+1)$. Thus any $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$ consists of tiles in

$$\mathcal{W}_a := \overline{(a, a+1)} \subset \mathcal{T}. \tag{6}$$

We call $\mathcal{W}_a$ the *a-comb*.

Example 2.4. We continue with Example 1.1 and depict $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $\mathcal{W}_3$ in $\mathcal{T}$



2.2. **Degeneration of combs.** In the following we explain an inductive way to transform transform $\mathcal{W}_a$ into a singleton by successive flips and how this reflects on the posets of a-crossings.

Lemma 2.5. *If $\#\mathcal{W}_a > 1$ then there exists a hexagon $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{W}_a$ with $\#\{\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}^a\} = 2$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta \subset \mathcal{W}_a$ be the subset of tiles of $\mathcal{T}$ cut out by the strip sequences $\mathcal{L}^a, \mathcal{L}^{a+1}, \mathcal{L}^s$, where $[a, a+1]$ and $[a+1, s]$ are neighbour tiles in $\mathcal{W}_a$.

If $\#\{\mathcal{L}^a \cap \Delta\} = 2$, then $[a, s]$ and $[a, a+1]$ are neighbours. Since by assumption $[a, a+1]$ and $[a+1, s]$ are neighbours, $[a, s]$ and $[a+1, s]$ also have to be neighbours. Therefore $\mathcal{H} = \{[a+1, s], [a, a+1], [a, s]\} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is a subhexagon with $\#\mathcal{L}^a \cap \mathcal{H} = 2$.

If $\#\{\mathcal{L}^a \cap \Delta\} > 2$, then there exists a neighbour $[a, t] \in \mathcal{L}^a \cap \Delta$ of $[a, a+1]$ and a neighbour $[t, u] \in \mathcal{L}^t \cap \Delta$ of $[a, t]$. Since by assumption $[a, a+1]$ and $[a+1, s]$ are neighbours, we have produced a new subset $\Delta' \subset \mathcal{W}_a$ of tiles of $\mathcal{T}$ cut out by the strip sequences $\mathcal{L}^a, \mathcal{L}^t, \mathcal{L}^u$, where $[a, t]$ and $[t, u]$ are neighbour tiles in $\mathcal{W}_a$. We have $\#\{\mathcal{L}^a \cap \Delta\} > \#\{\mathcal{L}^a \cap \Delta'\}$ and proceed inductively. □

Example 2.6. We depict the induction of the proof of Lemma 2.5 for the example of $\mathcal{W}_3$ given in Example 2.4.



The following induction step yields $t' = 1$, $u' = u = 2$ and the subset Δ'' cut out by the strip sequences $\mathcal{L}^a$, $\mathcal{L}^{t'}$, $\mathcal{L}^{u'}$ is the desired hexagon.



Δ''

Let $\mathcal{H}_{a,s,t} \subset \mathcal{W}_a$ be a sub hexagon as in Lemma 2.5 and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the tiling obtained from $\mathcal{T}$ by flipping $\mathcal{H}_{a,s,t} \subset \mathcal{W}_a$. Using Definition 1.6 we assume that s, t are chosen such that $[a,s]_{\mathcal{T}} <_a [a,t]_{\mathcal{T}}$ (i.e. $s < a < t$ or $a < t < s$ or $t < s < a$). Then $\mathcal{V}_a := \overline{(a, a+1)} \subset \mathcal{S}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{V}_a = \begin{cases} \{[u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{S}} \mid [u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{W}_a\} - \{[s,t]_{\mathcal{S}}\} & \text{if } t \neq a+1, \\ \{[u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{S}} \mid [u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{W}_a\} - \{[s,t]_{\mathcal{S}}, [a,s]_{\mathcal{S}}\} & \text{if } t = a+1. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

In particular $\#\mathcal{V}_a < \#\mathcal{W}_a$.

We denote the set of a-crossing in $\mathcal{S}$ by Λ_a and define the map

$$\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{H}} : \Gamma_a \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda_a$$
$$\pi_{\mathcal{H}}((u_1, \dots, u_m)) := \begin{cases} (u_1, u_3, u_4, \dots, u_m) & \text{if } u_2, u_3 \in \{s,t\}, \\ (u_1, \dots, u_m) & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Furthermore, we introduce the following subsets of crossings in Γ_a.

Definition 2.7. The subset $\Gamma^- \subset \Gamma_a$, resp. $\Gamma^+ \subset \Gamma_a$, is the set of crossing whose first turn is at a tile $[a, u_2]$ which appears before $[a,s]$ in $\mathcal{L}^a$, resp. whose first turn is at a tile $[a,u_2]$ which appears after $[a,t]$ in $\mathcal{L}^a$, i.e.

$$\Gamma^- := \left\{(u_i)_{1\le i\le m} \in \Gamma_a \,\middle|\, [u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{T}} <_a [a,s]_{\mathcal{T}}\right\} \quad \Gamma^+ := \left\{(u_i)_{1\le i\le m} \in \Gamma_a \,\middle|\, [u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{T}} >_a [a,t]_{\mathcal{T}}\right\}.$$

The subset $\Gamma^{st} \subset \Gamma_a$, resp. $\Gamma^s \subset \Gamma_a$, is the set of crossing whose first turn is at the tile $[a,s]$ and whose second turn is at the tile $[s,t]$, resp. whose second turn is at a tile $[s,t'] >_a [s,t]$ on $\mathcal{L}^s$, i.e.

$$\Gamma^s := \left\{(u_i)_{1\le i\le m} \in \Gamma_a \,\middle|\, u_2 = s,\, u_3 \neq t\right\}, \quad \Gamma^{st} := \left\{(u_i)_{1\le i\le m} \in \Gamma_a \,\middle|\, u_2 = s,\, u_3 = t\right\},$$



Γ^{st}



Γ^s .

The subset $\Gamma^{ts} \subset \Gamma_a$, resp. $\Gamma^t \subset \Gamma_a$, is the set of crossing whose first turn is at the tile $[a,t]$ and whose second turn is at the tile $[s,t]$, resp. whose second turn is at a tile $[s',t] >_a [s,t]$

on $\mathcal{L}^s$, i.e.



Γ^{ts}



Γ^{t} .

Analogously we define the subsets Λ^- and Λ^+ of Λ_a by

$$\Lambda^- := \left\{(u_i)_{1\le i\le m} \in \Lambda_a \,\middle|\, [u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{S}} <_a [a,s]_{\mathcal{S}}\right\}, \quad \Lambda^+ := \left\{(u_i)_{1\le i\le m} \in \Lambda_a \,\middle|\, [u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{S}} >_a [a,t]_{\mathcal{S}}\right\}$$

and the subsets Λ^s and Λ^t of Λ_a by

$$\Lambda^s := \left\{(u_i)_{1\le i\le m} \in \Lambda_a \,\middle|\, u_2 = s\right\} \quad \Lambda^t := \left\{(u_i)_{1\le i\le m} \in \Lambda_a \,\middle|\, u_2 = t\right\}.$$



Λ^s



Λ^t .

We further define

$$X := \left\{\Gamma^-, \Gamma^s, \Gamma^{st}, \Gamma^t, \Gamma^{ts}, \Gamma^+\right\} - \{\varnothing\}, \quad Y := \left\{\Lambda^-, \Lambda^s, \Lambda^t, \Lambda^+\right\} - \{\varnothing\}.$$

The quotient map $p_X : \Gamma_a \twoheadrightarrow X$ induces on X the order $\le_X$ given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \Gamma^s & & \\ & & & \llcorner & & \lrcorner & \\ \Gamma^- & < & \Gamma^{st} & & & & \Gamma^{ts} & < \Gamma^+ \\ & & & \ulcorner & & & \\ & & & & \Gamma^t & \urcorner. & \end{array}$$

The quotient map $p_Y : \Lambda_a \twoheadrightarrow Y$ induces on Y the order $\le_Y$ given by

$$\Lambda^- < \Lambda^t < \Lambda^s < \Lambda^+.$$

The map $f : X \twoheadrightarrow Y$, defined by $f(\Gamma^-) = \Lambda^-$, $f(\Gamma^{st}) = f(\Gamma^t) = \Lambda^t$, $f(\Gamma^{ts}) = f(\Gamma^s) = \Lambda^s$, is order preserving and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_a & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{H}}} & \Lambda_a \\ {\scriptstyle p_X}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_Y} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \end{array}$$

is a cartesian diagram of sets, i.e. $\Gamma_a = \Lambda_a \times_Y X$. We show:

Lemma 2.8. *For $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{a,s,t} \subset \mathcal{W}_a$ the map $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ is order preserving, i.e. for $(\lambda_1, x_1), (\lambda_2, x_2) \in \Gamma_a$ we have*

$$(\lambda_1, x_1) \le (\lambda_2, x_2) \iff \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \wedge x_1 < x_2.$$

In particular, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_a$ the fiber $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(\lambda)$ is linearly ordered.

Proof. Let γ be a crossing in Γ_a. Setting

$$\gamma^{\le} := \left\{(u_1,u_2) \in [n]^2 \,\middle|\, [u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{T}} \in \overline{\gamma}\right\},$$
$$\gamma^{<} := \left\{(u_1,u_2) \in [n]^2 \,\middle|\, [u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{T}} \in \overline{\gamma} - \gamma\right\}$$

we have

$$\gamma \le \gamma' \Leftrightarrow \gamma^{\le} \subseteq \gamma'^{\le} \wedge \gamma^{<} \subseteq \gamma'^{<}.$$

Since for $H_{\mathcal{W}} := \{(u_1,u_2)\,|\,[u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{H}\}$ and $H_{\mathcal{V}} := \{(u_1,u_2)\,|\,[u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{H}, [u_1,u_2]_{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{V}_a\}$ we have

$$\gamma^{\leq} - H_{\mathcal{W}} = (\pi_{\mathcal{H}}\gamma)^{\leq} - H_{\mathcal{V}},$$
$$\gamma^{<} - H_{\mathcal{W}} = (\pi_{\mathcal{H}}\gamma)^{<} - H_{\mathcal{V}} = (\pi_{\mathcal{H}}\gamma)^{<},$$

it is enough to show

$$\gamma_1 \preceq \gamma_2 \Rightarrow (\pi\gamma_1)^{\leq} \cap H_{\mathcal{V}} \subseteq (\pi\gamma_2)^{\leq} \cap H_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

The claim follows, since $f \circ p_X$ is order preserving and

$$(\pi\gamma)^{\leq} \cap H_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{cases} \varnothing & f \circ p_X(\gamma) = \Lambda^-, \\ \{[\min\{a,t\}, \max\{a,t\}]\} & f \circ p_X(\gamma) = \Lambda^t, \\ H_{\mathcal{V}} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Further for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_s$ the fiber $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(\gamma)$ is linearly ordered since for any $y \in Y$ the fiber $f^{-1}(y)$ is linearly ordered. □

2.3. **Crossing Formula.** Let $a \in [n-1]$. To state the formula for the Kashiwara operators f_a and e_a on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}}$ we introduce the following notation. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$ with strip sequence $(s_1 = a, s_2, \ldots, s_M = a+1)$ as in (5) we define $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{T}}$ by

$$[\gamma]_T := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(s_{i+1} - s_i) & \text{if } T = [s_i, s_{i+1}] \text{ for some } i, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases},$$

and

$$\epsilon_a([s,t]) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \leq a < a+1 \leq t, \\ -1 & \text{else} \end{cases} \qquad ([s,t] \in \mathcal{T}),$$
$$F_a(x,\gamma) = \sum_{\substack{T \in \gamma \\ \epsilon_a(T)=1}} x_T - \sum_{\substack{T \in \gamma \\ \epsilon_a(T)=-1 \\ [\gamma]_T = 0}} x_T, \qquad \left(x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}}\right). \tag{9}$$

Definition 2.9. We say $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$ is a *Reineke a-crossing* if it satisfies the following condition: For any $\gamma_i = [s,t]$ such that γ_{i-1}, γ_i and γ_{i+1} lie in the same strip sequence $\mathcal{L}^s$ we have

$$s > t \quad \text{if } t \leq a$$
$$s < t \quad \text{if } a+1 \leq t.$$

We denote the set of all Reineke a-crossings by $\mathcal{R}_a$

Example 2.10. In the poset Γ_3 given in Example 2.3 all 3-crossings are Reineke 3-crossings except $\gamma = (3,1,4)$ since we have $1 < 2 \leq 3$.



We prove the following Crossing Formula for the actions of the Kashiwara operators on an arbitrary **i**-Lusztig datum.

Theorem 2.11 (Crossing Formula)**.** *Let* $\mathbf{i}$ *be a reduced word and* $\mathcal{T}$ *the tiling associated to* $\mathbf{i}$*. For* $a \in [n-1]$ *and an* $\mathbf{i}$*-Lusztig datum* $x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}}$ *we have*

$$f_a(x) - x = [\gamma^x],$$

where γ^x *is the* $\preceq$*-maximal element in* Γ_a *satisfying*

$$F_a(x, \gamma^x) = \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_a} F_a(x, \gamma). \tag{10}$$

Furthermore, we have $\gamma^x \in \mathcal{R}_a$ *and*

$$\varepsilon_a(x) = \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_a} F_a(x, \gamma) = \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_a} F_a(x, \gamma).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\#\mathcal{W}_a$. For $\#\mathcal{W}_a = 1$ the statement follows by the definition of f_a and ε_a given in Definition 1.10. Assuming now $\#\mathcal{W}_a \geq 2$ by Lemma 2.5 there exists a hexagon $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{a,s,t} \subset \mathcal{W}_a$ with $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}^a = 2$. We assume that $[a,s] <_a [a,t]$ and denote by $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}'}$ the tiling obtained from by $\mathcal{T}$ by flipping $\mathcal{H}$. Then, by (7) $\mathcal{V}_a := \overline{(a, a+1)} \subset \mathcal{S}$ satisfies $\#\mathcal{V}_a < \#\mathcal{W}_a$. Further $\mathbf{i}'$ is obtained from $\mathbf{i}$ by a braid move that induces a flip of $\mathcal{H}$ (see Section 1.4).

We write Λ_a for the set of a-crossings in $\mathcal{S}$ and define $\Gamma^-, \Gamma^s, \Gamma^{st}, \Gamma^t, \Gamma^{ts}, \Gamma^+, X, \Lambda^-, \Lambda^s, \Lambda^t, \Lambda^+, Y$ as in Definition 2.7. By Lemma 2.8 the map $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ defined in (8) is order preserving and we can identify Γ_a with $\Lambda_a \times_Y X$. Writing with the notations of (4)

$$y = (y_S)_{S \in \mathcal{S}} := \Phi^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}\, x$$

by the induction hypothesis there exists a unique $\preceq$-maximal element $\lambda^y \in \Lambda_a$ satisfying

$$\varepsilon_a(y) = F_a(y, \lambda^y) = \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda_a} F_a(y, \lambda).$$

Let $\gamma^x \in \Gamma_a$ be a $\preceq$-maximal element satisfying (10). Since a neighbour sequence is determined by its associated strip sequence $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{T}}$ determines $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$.

By Definition 1.10 we have $\varepsilon_a(y) = \varepsilon_a(x)$ and $f_a x = \Phi^{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathbf{i}}\, f_a\, \Phi^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}\, x$. Thus using the induction hypothesis it is enough to show

$$\Phi^{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathbf{i}}\,(y + [\lambda^y]) = x + [\gamma^x]\,, \tag{11}$$

$$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda_a : \max_{\gamma \in \pi^{-1}(\lambda)} F_a(x, \gamma) = F_a(y, \lambda)\,, \tag{12}$$

$$\gamma^x \in \mathcal{R}_a. \tag{13}$$

We consider the three cases 1) $a < t < s$, 2) $s < a < t$ and 3) $t < s < a$ separately.

<u>First case:</u> We first assume $a < t < s$. Then by (4) we have for $x_{s,t} := x_{[s,t]}$, $y_{s,t} := y_{[s,t]}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$

$$F_a(x,\gamma) - F_a(y, \pi\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \gamma \in \Gamma^-, \\ x_{a,s} - y_{a,t} = \min(0, y_{s,t} - y_{a,t}) & \gamma \in \Gamma^{st}, \\ x_{a,s} + x_{a,t} - x_{s,t} - y_{a,t} = \min(0, y_{a,t} - y_{s,t}) & \gamma \in \Gamma^t, \\ x_{a,s} - x_{s,t} - y_{a,t} - y_{a,s} = -x_{s,t} - x_{a,t} & \gamma \in \Gamma^s, \\ x_{a,s} + x_{a,t} - y_{a,t} - y_{a,s} = 0 & \gamma \in \Gamma^{ts} \cup \Gamma^+. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Since π is surjective, $\pi(\lambda, \Gamma^s) = \pi(\lambda, \Gamma^{ts})$ and $\pi(\lambda, \Gamma^t) = \pi(\lambda, \Gamma^{st})$ we obtain from (14) the equality (12) and

$$F_a(x, \gamma^x) = \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_a} F_a(x, \gamma) = \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda_a} F_a(y, \lambda) = F_a(y, \lambda^y)\,. \tag{15}$$

Writing $\gamma^x = (\lambda^x, \Gamma^x) \in \Lambda_a \times_Y X = \Gamma_a$ we obtain from (12) and (15)

$$\lambda^x = \pi\gamma^x \preceq \lambda^y. \tag{16}$$

By(12) and (15) we can choose $\Gamma^y \in X$ maximal with $F_a(x,(\lambda^y,\Gamma^y)) = F_a(x,\gamma^x)$. Then, by $\Gamma^s \lessdot \Gamma^{ts}$ and (14),we have $\Gamma^y \neq \Gamma^s$. From (16) and $\Gamma^y \neq \Gamma^s$ we obtain $\gamma^x \preceq (\lambda^y,\Gamma^y)$ and by the $\preceq$-maximality of γ^x we conclude $\gamma^x = (\lambda^y,\Gamma^y)$. Using the induction hypothesis $\gamma^x = (\lambda^y,\Gamma^y)$ implies (13). Furthermore, we obtain

$$\pi\gamma^x = \lambda^y. \tag{17}$$

By (4) we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}(y+[\lambda^y]) - x = \begin{cases} \left[\left(\lambda^y,\Gamma^{st}\right)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^t \wedge y_{a,t} < y_{s,t}, \\ \left[\left(\lambda^y,\Gamma^{t}\right)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^t \wedge y_{a,t} \geq y_{s,t}, \\ \left[\left(\lambda^y,\Gamma^{ts}\right)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^s, \\ \left[(\lambda^y,\Gamma^-)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^-, \\ \left[(\lambda^y,\Gamma^+)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^+. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

If $\lambda^y \notin \Lambda^t$ then $\#\pi^{-1}(\lambda^y) \cap \mathcal{R}_a = 1$ and (11) follows from (13), (17) and (18). If $\lambda^y \in \Lambda^t$, we have $\pi^{-1}(\lambda^y) = \{(\lambda^y,\Gamma^t),(\lambda^y,\Gamma^{st})\}$ and (11) follows from (14), (17), (18) and $\Gamma^{st} \lessdot \Gamma^t$.

Second Case: We next consider the case $t < s < a$. By (4), we have for $x_{s,t} := x_{[s,t]}$, $y_{s,t} := y_{[s,t]}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$)

$$F_a(x,\gamma) - F_a(y,\pi\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \gamma \in \Gamma^- \cup \Gamma^{st}, \\ -x_{s,t} - x_{s,a} & \gamma \in \Gamma^t, \\ -x_{s,t} + y_{t,a} = \min(0, y_{s,a} - y_{s,t}) & \gamma \in \Gamma^s, \\ -x_{s,a} + y_{t,a} = \min(0, y_{s,t} - y_{s,a}) & \gamma \in \Gamma^{ts} \\ -x_{s,a} - x_{t,a} + y_{t,a} + y_{s,a} = 0 & \gamma \in \Gamma^+. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Since π is surjective, $\pi(\lambda,\Gamma^s) = \pi(\lambda,\Gamma^{ts})$ and $\pi(\lambda,\Gamma^t) = \pi(\lambda,\Gamma^{st})$ we obtain from (19) the equality (12) and

$$F_a(x,\gamma^x) = \max_{\gamma\in\Gamma_a} F_a(x,\gamma) = \max_{\lambda\in\Lambda_a} F_a(y,\lambda) = F_a(y,\lambda^y). \tag{20}$$

Writing $\gamma^x = (\lambda^x,\Gamma^x) \in \Lambda_a \times_Y X = \Gamma_a$ we obtain from (12) and (20)

$$\lambda^x = \pi\gamma^x \preceq \lambda^y. \tag{21}$$

By(12) and (20) we can choose $\Gamma^y \in X$ maximal with $F_a(x,(\lambda^y,\Gamma^y)) = F_a(x,\gamma^x)$. Then $\Gamma^y \neq \Gamma^t$, since otherwise we have $y_{a,t} = \min(x_{a,s},x_{s,t}) = 0$ and using the induction hypothesis we obtain the contradiction $f_a y = y + [\lambda^y] \notin \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{S}}$. From (21) and $\Gamma^y \neq \Gamma^t$ we obtain $\gamma^x \preceq (\lambda^y,\Gamma^y)$ and by the $\preceq$-maximality of γ^x we conclude $\gamma^x = (\lambda^y,\Gamma^y)$. Using the induction hypothesis $\gamma^x = (\lambda^y,\Gamma^y)$ implies (13). Furthermore, we obtain

$$\pi\gamma^x = \lambda^y. \tag{22}$$

By (4) we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}(y+[\lambda^y]) - x = \begin{cases} \left[\left(\lambda^y,\Gamma^{ts}\right)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^s \wedge y_{s,a} \leq y_{s,t}, \\ \left[(\lambda^y,\Gamma^{s})\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^s \wedge y_{s,a} > y_{s,t}, \\ \left[\left(\lambda^y,\Gamma^{st}\right)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^t, \\ \left[(\lambda^y,\Gamma^-)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^-, \\ \left[(\lambda^y,\Gamma^+)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^+. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

If $\lambda^y \notin \Lambda^s$ then $\#\pi^{-1}(\lambda^y) \cap \mathcal{R}_a = 1$ and (11) follows from (13), (22) and (23). If $\lambda^y \in \Lambda^s$, we have $\pi^{-1}(\lambda^y) = \{(\lambda^y,\Gamma^s),(\lambda^y,\Gamma^{ts})\}$ and (11) follows from (19), (22), (23) and $\Gamma^s \lessdot \Gamma^{ts}$.

Third Case: We finally consider the case $s < a < t$. In this case (13) follows directly from the induction hypothesis. Furthermore, by (4) we have for $x_{s,t} := x_{[s,t]}$, $y_{s,t} := y_{[s,t]}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$

$$F_a(x,\gamma) - F_a(y,\pi\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \gamma \in \Gamma^-, \\ x_{s,t} - y_{a,t} = \min(0, y_{s,a} - y_{a,t}) & \gamma \in \Gamma^{st} \cup \Gamma^s, \\ -x_{s,a} + x_{a,t} + x_{s,t} - y_{a,t} = \min(0, y_{a,t} - y_{s,a}) & \gamma \in \Gamma^t \cup \Gamma^{ts}, \\ -x_{s,a} + x_{a,t} - y_{a,t} + y_{s,a} = 0 & \gamma \in \Gamma^+. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

Since π is surjective, $\pi(\lambda, \Gamma^s) = \pi(\lambda, \Gamma^{ts})$ and $\pi(\lambda, \Gamma^t) = \pi(\lambda, \Gamma^{st})$ we obtain from (24) the equality (12) and

$$F_a(x, \gamma^x) = \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_a} F_a(x,\gamma) = \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda_a} F_a(y,\lambda) = F_a(y, \lambda^y). \tag{25}$$

Writing $\gamma^x = (\lambda^x, \Gamma^x) \in \Lambda_a \times_Y X = \Gamma_a$ we obtain from (12) and (25)

$$\lambda^x = \pi\gamma^x \preceq \lambda^y. \tag{26}$$

By(12) and (20) we can choose $\Gamma^y \in X$ maximal with $F_a(x, (\lambda^y, \Gamma^y)) = F_a(x, \gamma^x)$. Then $\{\Gamma^y, \Gamma^x\} \neq \{\Gamma^t, \Gamma^s\}$, since otherwise by the $\preceq$-maximality of γ^x and γ^y with $F_a(x,\gamma^x) = F_a(x,\gamma^y) = \max_{\gamma\in\Gamma_a} F_a(x,\gamma)$ we obtain the contradiction $0 \le y_{a,t} - y_{a,s} < 0$. From (26) and $\{\Gamma^y, \Gamma^x\} \neq \{\Gamma^t, \Gamma^s\}$ we obtain $\gamma^x \preceq (\lambda^y, \Gamma^y)$ and by the $\preceq$-maximality of γ^x we conclude

$$\pi\gamma^x = \lambda^y. \tag{27}$$

By (4) we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}(y + [\lambda^y]) - x = \begin{cases} \left[\left(\lambda^y, \Gamma^{ts}\right)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^s \wedge y_{s,a} \le y_{a,t}, \\ \left[(\lambda^y, \Gamma^s)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^s \wedge y_{s,a} > y_{s,t}, \\ \left[\left(\lambda^y, \Gamma^t\right)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^t \wedge y_{s,a} \le y_{a,t}, \\ \left[\left(\lambda^y, \Gamma^{st}\right)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^t \wedge y_{s,a} > y_{a,t}, \\ \left[(\lambda^y, \Gamma^-)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^-, \\ \left[(\lambda^y, \Gamma^+)\right] & \text{if } \lambda^y \in \Lambda^+. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

If $\lambda^y \notin \Lambda^s \cup \Lambda^t$ then $\#\pi^{-1}(\lambda^y) = 1$ and (11) follows from (27) and (28). If $\lambda^y \in \Lambda^s$, we have $\pi^{-1}(\lambda^y) = \{(\lambda^y, \Gamma^s), (\lambda^y, \Gamma^{ts})\}$ and (11) follows from (24), (27), (28) and $\Gamma^s < \Gamma^{ts}$. If $\lambda^y \in \Lambda^t$, we have $\pi^{-1}(\lambda^y) = \{(\lambda^y, \Gamma^t), (\lambda^y, \Gamma^{st})\}$ and (11) follows from (24), (27), (28) and $\Gamma^{st} < \Gamma^t$. □

For $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$ with strip sequence $(s_1 = a, s_2, \dots, s_M = a+1)$ we define $[\gamma]^- \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}}$

$$[\gamma]^-_T := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } [\gamma]_T = -1, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}.$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.12. *For $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_a$ and $x = [\gamma]^-$ we have*

$$\gamma^x = \gamma.$$

Proof. By the Crossing Formula (Theorem 2.11) the statement if equivalent to

$$f_a[\gamma]^- = [\gamma]^- + [\gamma]. \tag{29}$$

We prove (29) by induction on $\#\mathcal{W}_a$. For $\#\mathcal{W} = 1$ the claim is true by the definition of f_a given in Definition 1.10. Assuming now $\#\mathcal{W}_a \ge 2$ by Lemma 2.5 there exists a hexagon $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{a,s,t} \subset \mathcal{W}_a$ with $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}^a = 2$. We assume that $[a,s] <_a [a,t]$ and denote by $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{j}}$ the tiling obtained from by $\mathcal{T}$ by flipping $\mathcal{H}$. Then, by (7) $\mathcal{V}_a := \overline{(a, a+1)} \subset \mathcal{S}$ satisfies

$\#\mathcal{V}_a < \#\mathcal{W}_a$. Further $\mathbf{j}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{i}$ by a braid move that induces a flip of $\mathcal{H}$ (see Section 1.4).

We write Λ_a for the set of a-crossings in $\mathcal{S}$ and define $\Gamma^-, \Gamma^s, \Gamma^{st}, \Gamma^t, \Gamma^{ts}, \Gamma^+, X, \Lambda^-, \Lambda^s, \Lambda^t, \Lambda^+, Y$ as in Definition 2.7. By Lemma 2.8 the map $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ defined in (8) is order preserving.

We first assume that either $\gamma \in \Gamma^s \cup \Gamma^t \cup \Gamma^- \cup \Gamma^+$ or $t < s < a$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma^{st}$ or $a < t < s$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma^{ts}$. One verifies case by case that

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}([\gamma]^-) = [\pi\gamma]^- \tag{30}$$

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}([\pi\gamma]^- + [\pi\gamma]) = [\gamma]^- + [\gamma]. \tag{31}$$

Since by definition $f_a[\gamma]^- = \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}} f_a \Phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}[\gamma]^-$ we conclude

$$f_a[\gamma]^- = \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}(f_a[\pi\gamma]^-) = \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}([\pi\gamma]^- + [\pi\gamma]) = [\gamma] + [\gamma]^-,$$

where the first equality follows from (30), the second equality follows from the induction hypothesis and the third equality from (31).

We now consider the remaining cases and fix $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ where

$$\widetilde{\Gamma} = \begin{cases} \Gamma^{s,t} & \text{if } a < t < s \ \vee \ s < a < t \\ \Gamma^{t,s} & \text{if } t < s < a \ \vee \ s < a < t. \end{cases}$$

In these cases we have for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_a$

$$F_a([\pi\gamma]^-, \lambda) \geq \begin{cases} F_a(\Phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}[\gamma]^-, \lambda) - 1 & \text{if } s < a < t \ \vee \gamma \in \Gamma^{t,s} \\ F_a(\Phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}[\gamma]^-, \lambda) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

with equality if $\lambda = \pi\gamma$. Thus, using the induction hypothesis and the Crossing Formula we obtain

$$f_a \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}[\gamma]^- = \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}[\gamma]^- + [\pi\gamma]. \tag{32}$$

Using (32) and the definition of f_a one directly computes

$$f_a[\gamma]^- = \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}\left(f_a \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}[\gamma]^-\right) = \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{j}}([\gamma]^- + [\pi\gamma]) = [\gamma]^- + [\gamma].$$

□

By the Crossing Formula (Theorem 2.11) and Proposition 2.12 we obtain the following.

Theorem 2.13. *We have*

$$\mathbf{R}_a = \mathbf{R}_a(\mathcal{T}) := \{f_a x - x \mid x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}}\} = \{[\gamma] \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{R}_a\}.$$

Definition 2.14. The subset $\mathbf{R}_a \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{T}}$ is called the set of *a-Reineke vectors.*

The following result can be proved analogous to the Crossing Formula.

Theorem 2.15. *For $a \in [n-1]$ and $x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}}$ with $\varepsilon_a(x) \geq 1$ we have*

$$e_a(x) - x = [\gamma_x],$$

where γ_x is the $\preceq$-minimal element in Γ_a satisfying

$$F_a(x, \gamma_x) = \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_a} F_a(x, \gamma).$$

2.4. **The Dual Crossing Formula and highest weight crystals.** Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ be the tiling associated to the reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ of w_0 as defined in Section 1.3. For λ a dominant integral weight of $\mathrm{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the crystal graph of highest weight crystal $B(\lambda)$ is a full subgraph of $B(\infty)$ (with weights appropriately shifted). In this section we give an explicit description of the *-crystal structure on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ and the explicit embedding of the highest weight crystals into $\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ where * denotes the Kashiwara involution introduced in Section 1.5.

We introduce the dual notions of those used in the Crossing Formula (Theorem 2.11). Using the notation of Definition 1.5 we define the set Γ_a^* of dual a-crossings as the set of $n+a$-ascending neighbour sequences $(\gamma_i)_{i\in[m]}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ starting at $\gamma_1 = \mathcal{L}_{n-1}^a$ and ending at $\gamma_m = \mathcal{L}_{n-1}^{a+1}$.

For $\gamma \in \Gamma_a^*$ with strip sequence $(s_1 = a, s_2, \dots, s_M = a+1)$ we define $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ by

$$[\gamma]_T := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(s_{i+1} - s_i) & \text{if } T = [s_i, s_{i+1}] \text{ for some } i, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases},$$

and

$$\epsilon_a([s,t]) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \le a < a+1 \le t, \\ -1 & \text{else} \end{cases} \qquad ([s,t] \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}),$$

$$F_a(x,\gamma) = \sum_{\substack{T\in\gamma \\ \epsilon_a(T)=1}} x_T - \sum_{\substack{T\in\gamma \\ \epsilon_a(T)=-1 \\ [\gamma]_T=0}} x_T, \qquad \left(x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}\right).$$

We say $\gamma \in \Gamma_a^*$ is a *dual Reineke a-crossing* if it satisfies the following condition: For any $\gamma_i = \langle s,t\rangle$ such that γ_{i-1}, γ_i and γ_{i+1} lie in the same strip sequence $\mathcal{L}^s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} s &> t \quad \text{if } t \le a \\ s &< t \quad \text{if } a+1 \le t. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the set of all dual Reineke a-crossings by $\mathcal{R}_a^*$. We introduce an order $\preceq^*$ on the set Γ_a^* of a-crossings as follows. Since $\le_{n+a}$ is anti-symmetric, $\gamma \in \Gamma_a^*$ cannot contain cycles. Thus, the set $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \setminus \{T \mid T \in \gamma\}$ has two connected components. We denote the set consisting of those $T \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ which lie in the component right of γ by $\overline{\gamma}^*$ and define for $\gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma_a^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{\gamma}^* &:= \overline{\gamma}^* - \gamma, \\ \gamma \preceq \lambda &:\Leftrightarrow \overline{\gamma}^* \subseteq \overline{\lambda}^* \wedge \mathring{\gamma}^* \subseteq \mathring{\lambda}^*. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following dual Crossing Formula.

Theorem 2.16 (Dual Crossing Formula)**.** *Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a reduced word, $a \in [n-1]$ and $x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f_a^*(x) - x &= [\gamma^x], \\ e_a^*(x) - x &= \begin{cases} [\gamma_x] & \text{if } \varepsilon_a^*(x) \ge 1 \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

where γ^x (resp. γ_x) is the $\preceq^*$-maximal (resp. minimal) element γ in Γ_a satisfying

$$F_a(x,(\gamma)^*) = \max_{\gamma'\in\Gamma_a^*} F_a(x,\gamma').$$

Furthermore, we have $(\gamma^x)^* \in \mathcal{R}_a^*$ and

$$\varepsilon_a^*(x) = \max_{\gamma\in\Gamma_a^*} F_a(x,\gamma) = \max_{\gamma\in\mathcal{R}_a^*} F_a(x,\gamma).$$

Moreover we have

$$\{[\gamma] \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{R}_a^*\} = \{f_a^* x - x \mid x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{i}^* = (i_1^*, i_2^*, \ldots, i_N^*)$ be the reduced word obtained from $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_N)$ obtained by setting $i_k^* = n - i_k + 1$. We note that the tiling $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the unique tiling such that under the identification of tiles with positive roots as in (3) the total ordering $\leq_{\mathbf{i}^*}$ is a refinement of $\leq_{2n}$ to a total order. For $x \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ let $x^\diamond \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}^*}$ be the $\mathbf{i}^*$-Lusztig datum obtained by setting

$$x^\diamond_{[k,\ell]} = x_{[k,\ell]}$$

for all $1 \leq k < \ell \leq n$.

By Definition 1.11 we have for $a \in [n-1]$, $x \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$

$$f_a^* x = (f_a x^\diamond)^\diamond . \tag{33}$$

By (33), the Crossing Formulae (Theorem 2.11, Theorem 2.15) and Theorem 2.13 we get the desired statement. □

Definition 2.17. The subset $\mathbf{R}_a^* := \{f_a^* x - x \mid x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ is called the set of *dual a-Reineke vectors*.

By [K94, Proposition 8.2] we have the following description of highest weight crystals.

Proposition 2.18. *Let $\lambda = \sum_{a\in[n-1]} \lambda_a \omega_a$ be a dominant integral weight of $\mathfrak{g}$. The corresponding crystal graph $B(\lambda)$ is the full subgraph of the crystal graph of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ given by all Lusztig data $x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ such that $F_a(x, \gamma) \leq \lambda_a$ for all $a \in [n-1]$ and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_a^*$.*

3. Application 1 : The Crossing Formula applied to MV-polytopes

In this section we apply the Crossing Formula (Theorem 2.11) to MV-polytopes. By this we obtain a proof of a stronger version of the Anderson-Mirković (AM) conjecture. The AM conjecture was originally proved by Kamnitzer in [K07] and subsequently by Saito in [S12].

3.1. **MV polytopes and BZ data.** As in Section 1.1 let $\{\alpha_a\}_{a\in[n-1]}$ be the simple roots and $\{\omega_a\}_{a\in[n-1]}$ the fundamental weights. Furthermore denote by $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$ the real span of the basis of roots.

Recall the notation v_S for a vertex of a tiling $\mathcal{T}$ from Section 1.3. We denote by $\mathcal{P}([n])$ the power set of $[n]$ and identify the vertices of a tiling with a subset of $\mathcal{P}([n])$ via

$$v_S \mapsto S. \tag{34}$$

Recall that the *chamber weights* of $\mathfrak{g}$ are the elements of $\{\sigma\omega_a \mid \sigma \in W, a \in [n-1]\}$. For $\varnothing \neq S \subset [n]$ we denote the chamber weight $\sum_{s\in S} \epsilon_s$ also by v_S. (Recall the definition of ϵ_s from Section 1.1).

We denote by $\mathcal{P}^o([n])$ the set of proper subsets of $[n]$. A collection of integers $(\mathrm{z}_S)_{S\in\mathcal{P}^o([n])}$ is called a w_0-normalized *BZ-datum* if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{z}_{w_0[a]} = 0 && \forall a \in [n-1] && (w_0 - \textit{normalization})\\
&\mathrm{z}_{\sigma[a]} + \mathrm{z}_{\sigma\sigma_a[a]} + \sum_{b\in[n-1]\setminus\{a\}} \langle \alpha_a, \alpha_b\rangle \mathrm{z}_{\sigma[b]} \leq 0 && \forall \sigma \in W, \forall a \in [n-1] && (\textit{edge inequalities})
\end{aligned}$$

and for each triple $(\sigma, a, b) \in W \times [n-1] \times [n-1]$ such that $\sigma\sigma_a > \sigma$, $\sigma\sigma_b > \sigma$, $a \neq b$, we have

$$\mathrm{z}_{\sigma\sigma_a[a]} + \mathrm{z}_{\sigma\sigma_b[b]} = \min(\mathrm{z}_{\sigma[a]} + \mathrm{z}_{\sigma\sigma_a\sigma_b[b]}, \mathrm{z}_{\sigma[b]} + \mathrm{z}_{\sigma\sigma_b\sigma_a[a]}) \qquad (\textit{tropical Plücker relations}).$$

We denote the set of w_0-normalized BZ-data by $\mathcal{P}$.

For each $(\mathrm{z}_S)_{S\in\mathcal{P}^o([n])} \in \mathcal{P}$ the polytope

$$P(\mathrm{z}) = \{h \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} \mid \langle h, v_S\rangle \geq \mathrm{z}_S \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{P}^o([n])\},$$

is called a (w_0-normalized) *Mirković-Vilonen (MV) polytope*. For a reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ of w_0 let $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ be the tiling associated to $\mathbf{i}$ as defined in Section 1.3. We denote the set of MV polytopes by $\mathcal{MV}$. By [K07] the set $\mathcal{MV}$ has a crystal structure isomorphic to $B(\infty)$ and for each reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ the map $-[\mathrm{CA}_\mathbf{i}]_{\mathrm{trop}} : \mathcal{MV} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}}$ induces a crystal isomorphism, where $-[\mathrm{CA}_\mathbf{i}]_{\mathrm{trop}}$ is defined as follows. Let $P(\mathrm{z})$ be an MV-polytope with corresponding BZ-datum z, then

$$-[\mathrm{CA}_\mathbf{i}]_{\mathrm{trop}}(P(\mathrm{z}))_T = \mathrm{z}_{o(T)} + \mathrm{z}_{u(T)} - \mathrm{z}_{\ell(T)} - \mathrm{z}_{r(T)}, \tag{35}$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$, with $T = [s,t;S] \in \mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ (see Section 1.3 for the notation)

$$\begin{aligned} u(T) &:= S, & o(T) &:= S \cup \{s,t\}, \\ \ell(T) &:= S \cup \{\min(s,t)\}, & r(T) &:= S \cup \{\max(s,t)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

This is illustrated in the following picture.



Remark 3.1. The naming $-[\mathrm{CA}_\mathbf{i}]_{\mathrm{trop}}$ comes from the fact that this map is given by the tropicalization of the Chamber Ansatz (see [BFZ96]). In Section 5 we use the geometric lift (as in [BZ01]) of the negative function $[\mathrm{CA}_\mathbf{i}]_{\mathrm{trop}}$.

3.2. **The Anderson-Mirković conjecture in type A.** For $a \in [n-1]$ and $S \subset [n]$ we set $\sigma_a S := (S \backslash \{a\}) \cup \{a+1\}$. Recall the definition an a-crossing $\gamma \in \Gamma_a$ and the order relation $\preceq$ on Γ_a from Section 2.1. The set $\mathcal{R}_a$ of a-Reineke crossing is given in Definition 2.9. By translating $F_a(x,\gamma)$ defined in (9) for the $\preceq$-maximal element $\gamma = (a, a+1) \in \mathcal{R}_a$ to the language of BZ-data we obtain:

Theorem 3.2. *Let z, z' be BZ-data such that $f_a P(\mathrm{z}) = P(\mathrm{z}')$. Then we have for $S \subset [n]$*

$$\mathrm{z}_S - \mathrm{z}'_S = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in S,\ a+1 \notin S \text{ and } \mathrm{z}_S - \mathrm{z}_{\sigma_a S} \geq \mathrm{z}_{[a]} - \mathrm{z}_{\sigma_a[a]}, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

Furthermore, if $a \in S$ and $a+1 \notin S$, then

$$\mathrm{z}_S - \mathrm{z}_{\sigma_a S} \leq \mathrm{z}_{[a]} - \mathrm{z}_{\sigma_a[a]}\,.$$

Proof. We choose a tiling $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ such that $v_{\sigma_a[a]}$ is a vertex of $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$. Then the tile $[a, a+1]$ has vertices $v_{[a-1]}$, $v_{[a]}$, $v_{[a+1]}$, $v_{\sigma_a[a]}$ and $\gamma = (a, a+1)$ is the only a-Reineke crossing since $[a, a+1]$ intersects the left boundary of $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ with two edges. Thus, using (35) we obtain from the Crossing Formula (Theorem 2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_a(P(\mathrm{z})) = \varepsilon_a(-[\mathrm{CA}_\mathbf{i}]_{\mathrm{trop}}(P(\mathrm{z}))) &= F_a\left(-[\mathrm{CA}_\mathbf{i}]_{\mathrm{trop}}(P(\mathrm{z})), (a, a+1)\right) = \\ &= -[\mathrm{CA}_\mathbf{i}]_{\mathrm{trop}}(P(\mathrm{z}))_{[a,a+1]} = \mathrm{z}_{[a+1]} + \mathrm{z}_{[a-1]} - \mathrm{z}_{[a]} - \mathrm{z}_{\sigma_a[a]}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Using Lemma 2.5 one finds by induction starting at $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ that

$$\mathrm{z}_S - \mathrm{z}'_S = 0 \tag{39}$$

if v_S is a vertex of a tile T which is not in $\mathcal{W}_a$. Consequently, (37) holds if $a \notin S$ or $a+1 \in S$.

From now on we assume $a \in S$ and $a+1 \notin S$. From [DKK10, Theorem 9.3] (see also [LZ98]) we deduce the existence of a tiling $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}'}$ such that both v_S and $v_{\sigma_a S}$ are vertices of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}'}$ (since S and $\sigma_a S$ form a strongly separated collection). For $T = [a, a+1] \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}'}$ we

then have $\ell(T) = S$, $r(t) = \sigma_a S$, $u(T) = S\backslash\{a\}$, $o(T) = S \cup \{a+1\}$. Thus, by (39) we have $\mathrm{z}_{o(T)} - \mathrm{z}'_{o(T)} = \mathrm{z}_{u(T)} - \mathrm{z}'_{u(T)} = \mathrm{z}_{r(T)} - \mathrm{z}'_{r(T)} = 0$. Setting $x = -[\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}'}]_{\mathrm{trop}}(P(\mathrm{z})) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}'}}$ it follows from the Crossing Formula that

$$\mathrm{z}_S - \mathrm{z}'_S = -(x_{[a,a+1]} - f_a x_{[a,a+1]}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } F_a(x,(a,a+1)) \geq \varepsilon_a(P(\mathrm{z})) = \varepsilon_a(x), \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

Since by the Crossing Formula we have $F_a(x,(a,a+1)) \leq \varepsilon_a(x)$, the claim follows from (38), (40) and

$$F_a(x,(a,a+1)) = \mathrm{z}_S - \mathrm{z}_{\sigma_a S} + \mathrm{z}_{[a+1]} + \mathrm{z}_{[a-1]} - 2\,\mathrm{z}_{[a]}\,.$$

□

We obtain the Anderson-Mirković conjecture in type A as an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2:

Corollary 3.3 ([K07, Corollary 5.6])**.** *Let z, z' be BZ-data such that $f_a P(\mathrm{z}) = P(\mathrm{z}')$. Then we have for $S \subset [n]$*

$$\mathrm{z}_S - \mathrm{z}'_S = \begin{cases} \max\left(0, \mathrm{z}_S - \mathrm{z}_{\sigma_a a} + \mathrm{z}_{\sigma_a[a]} - \mathrm{z}_{[a]} + 1\right) & \text{if } a \in S \text{ and } a+1 \notin S, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

4. Application 2: A duality between Lusztig's and Kashiwara's parametrization

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ be the tiling associated to the reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ for w_0 as in Section 1.3. In Definition 2.17 we defined the set $\mathbf{R}_a^* = \{f_a^* x - x \mid x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}\}$ of dual a-Reineke vectors associated $\mathbf{i}$ where * is the Kashiwara involution on the set of $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig data (see Section 1.5). In this section we associate to $\mathbf{R}_a^*$ a function r_a on the torus $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{S},\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ and show that it transforms under the geometric lift of the transition maps between different string parametrizations defined by Berenstein and Zelevinsky in [BZ01]. As a consequence we obtain that the cone corresponding to Kashiwara's string parametrization of the dual canonical basis is polar to the cone spanned by $\mathbf{R}_a^*$.

4.1. **String parametrizations.** We recall the *string parametrization* of the dual canonical basis $\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{dual}}$ corresponding to the reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_N)$. The parametrizing set is the set of $\mathbf{i}$-string data of elements of the crystal $B(\infty)$. An $\mathbf{i}$-*string datum* $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathrm{b})$ of $\mathrm{b} \in B(\infty)$ is a tuple $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathrm{b}) = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ defined inductively as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \max\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid e_{i_1}^k\,\mathrm{b} \neq 0\right\}, \\ x_2 &= \max\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid e_{i_2}^k e_{i_1}^{x_1}\,\mathrm{b} \neq 0\right\}, \\ &\vdots \\ x_N &= \max\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid e_{i_N}^k e_{i_{N-1}}^{x_{N-1}} \cdots e_{i_1}^{x_1}\,\mathrm{b} \neq 0\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By [BZ93], [L98] the set

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{i}} := \{\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathrm{b}) \mid \mathrm{b} \in B(\infty)\} \in \mathbb{N}^N \tag{41}$$

is a polyhedral cone called the *string cone associated to* $\mathbf{i}$.

We equip the cone $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{i}}$ with a crystal structure following [NZ97, K93]. First we define for $a \in [n-1]$ the operator f_a on $\mathbb{Z}^N$ as follows. We set for $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $a \in [n-1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_j(x) &:= x_j + \sum_{j<t\leq n-1} \left\langle h_{i_j}, \alpha_{i_t} \right\rangle x_t, \\ \nu^{(a)}(x) &:= \max\{\nu_j(x) \mid j \in [N], i_j = a\}. \end{aligned}$$

We let $j_0^{(a)}$ be the smallest j' such that $\nu_{j'}(x) = \nu^{(a)}(x)$ and define

$$(f_a(x))_k := x_k + \delta_{k,j_0}. \tag{42}$$

By [NZ97] the map

$$B(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Z}^N, \quad \mathrm{b} \mapsto \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathrm{b}^*)$$

is an embedding of crystals. Here * is the Kashiwara involution defined in Section 1.5.

Example 4.1. Let $n = 3$ and $\mathbf{i} = (1,2,1)$. Recall the associated tiling $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$:



Let b be the basis element corresponding to the $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig datum $x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ given by $x_{[1,2]} = 1$, $x_{[1,3]} = 0$, $x_{[2,3]} = 0$. We use the Crossing formula (Theorem 2.11) to compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathrm{b}^*) &= (1,0,0),\\ \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{i}}(f_1\,\mathrm{b}^*) &= (2,0,0),\\ \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{i}}(f_2\,\mathrm{b}^*) &= (1,1,0)\end{aligned}$$

By (42) we compute, that $f_1(1,0,0) = (2,0,0)$ and $f_2(1,0,0) = (1,1,0)$ since $\nu_1 = 1$, $\nu_2 = 0$ and $\nu_3 = 1$ and therefore $j_0^{(1)} = 1$ and $j_0^{(2)} = 2$.

As in [BZ01, Chapter 5] we associate to $\mathbf{i}$ the tori $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{S},\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ and define maps

$$\Psi^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{S},\mathbf{i}} \to \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{S},\mathbf{j}},$$

as follows. If $\mathbf{j}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{i}$ by replacing the subword (i_k, i_{k+1}) by (i_{k+1}, i_k) with $|i_k - i_{k+1}| > 1$ then

$$\Psi^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}(x_1, \dots x_N) = (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, x_k, \dots, x_N)\,. \tag{43}$$

If $\mathbf{j}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{i}$ by replacing the subword (i_{k-1}, i_k, i_{k+1}) by (i_k, i_{k-1}, i_k), where $i_{k+1} = i_{k-1}$ and $|i_k - i_{k-1}| = 1$ (braid move), then

$$\Psi^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}(x_1, \dots x_N) = \left(x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k x_{k+1}}{x_{k-1}x_{k+1} + x_k}, x_{k-1}x_{k+1}, \frac{x_{k-1}x_{k+1} + x_k}{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_N\right). \tag{44}$$

One checks by direct computation, that the compositions of maps of type (43) and (44) respect the relations of the symmetric group and we thus obtain a definition of $\Psi^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ for $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$ arbitrary.

By [BZ93, Theorem 2.2] the tropicalizations of $\Psi^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ intertwine the string parametrizations, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{j}} \circ \left[\Psi^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}\right]_{\mathrm{trop}}.$$

4.2. **Transformation behaviour of Reineke vectors.** In Definition 2.17 we defined the set of dual a-Reineke vectors

$$\mathbf{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}) = \left\{ f_a^* x - x \,\middle|\, x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}} \right\} \tag{45}$$

associated to a reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ and $a \in [n-1]$. With the notation of Section 2.4 we obtain by the dual Crossing Formula (Theorem 2.16)

$$\mathbf{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}) = \{[\gamma] \,|\, \gamma \in \mathcal{R}^*_a\}\,, \tag{46}$$

We define the functions

$$\mathrm{r_a} = \mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{S},\mathbf{i}} \to \mathbb{A}, \quad x \mapsto \sum_{y \in \mathbf{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})} x^y, \qquad x^y = x_1^{y_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_N^{y_N}, \tag{47}$$

Under a change of reduced words the functions (47) transform the following way.

Theorem 4.2. *For $a \in [n-1]$ and reduced words $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ we have:*

$$\mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{i}} = \mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{j}} \circ \Psi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j}. \tag{48}$$

Proof. If $\mathbf{j}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{i}$ through a commutation move, then (48) follows directly.

In general, $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are connected by a sequence of commutation and braid moves and we can by induction assume that $\mathbf{j}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{i}$ through a braid move. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.5 we can assume that $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{j}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ by flipping a hexagon $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{a,s,t} \subset \mathcal{W}_a$ with $\#\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}^a = 2$. In Section 2.4 we introduced the set of dual a-crossings $\Gamma^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})$ and the set of dual a-Reineke crossings $\mathcal{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}) \subset \Gamma^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})$. By (46) we have with the notations of Section 2.4 and the dual version of the map in (8) which we denote also by π:

$$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{a},\mathbf{i}}(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})} x^{[\gamma]}.$$

We further have for $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{j})$ and $R := \pi^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})$

$$\left(\Psi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j}(x)\right)^{[\lambda]} = \sum_{\gamma \in R} x^{[\gamma]}.$$

Since $\pi \mathcal{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}) = \mathcal{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{j})$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{a},\mathbf{i}}(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})} x^{[\gamma]} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{j})} \left(\Psi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j}(x)\right)^{[\lambda]} = \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{a},\mathbf{j}} \circ \Psi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j}(x).$$

□

4.3. **A Duality of cones.** In this section we derive a duality between Lusztig's and Kashiwara's parametrizations of $B(\infty)$.

Analogously to the definition of dual Reineke vector $\mathbf{R}^*(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}) = \bigcup_a \mathbf{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})$ (see (45)) for $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ we associate to the string cone $\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i}$ the sets

$$\mathbf{R}^*_a(\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i}) := \{f^*_a x - x \,|\, x \in \mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i},\, a \in [n-1]\}, \qquad \mathbf{R}^*(\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i}) := \bigcup_a \mathbf{R}^*_a(\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i}),$$

where * denotes the Kashiwara involution of $\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i}$.

For $\varnothing \neq C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ we define the *polar cone* C^* by

$$C^{\mathrm{pol}} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \forall y \in C : \langle x, y\rangle \geq 0\}.$$

We have the following duality.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a reduced word for w_0 and $\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}$ the tiling associated to $\mathbf{i}$. Then*

$$\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{R}^*(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})^{\mathrm{pol}} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{R}^*(\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i})^{\mathrm{pol}}$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 2.16 to tilings associated to the lexicographically minimal word $\mathbf{i}_0 = (1, 2, 1, \dots, n, n-1, \dots 1)$ and comparing $\mathbf{R}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}_0})$ with the defining inequalities of the string cone $\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i}$ as computed in [BZ93, L98] one obtains $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{i}_0} = \mathbf{R}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}_0})^{\mathrm{pol}}$. Since $\Psi^\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{j} \mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i} = \mathbb{S}_\mathbf{j}$ we obtain $\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{R}^*(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{j})^{\mathrm{pol}}$ for all $\mathbf{j}$ by the tropicalization of (48).

To prove $\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{R}^*(\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i})^{\mathrm{pol}}$ it suffices to show that for all $\mathbf{i}$

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{S}_\mathbf{i}) = \left\{e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid i \in [N]\right\}, \qquad e_i := (\delta_{i,j})_{j\in[N]}. \tag{49}$$

If i is first position such that a occurs in $\mathbf{i}$ then $f_a(0,0,\dots,0) = e_i$. If i is not first position such that a occurs in $\mathbf{i}$ let $i' < i$ be the largest integer such that a occurs in $\mathbf{i}$. Then $f_a(e_{i'}) = e_{i'} + e_i$. We thus obtain (49). □

Remark 4.4. Using the relation between rhombic tilings and wiring diagrams (see [DKK10, Section 2]) the notion of Reineke crossings translates into the notion of rigorous paths which appear in the work [GP00] of Gleizer and Postnikov. Furthermore, Gleizer and Postnikov associate vectors to rigorous paths, which can be seen to coincide with the dual Reineke vectors $\mathbf{R}^*(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i}) = \cup_a \mathbf{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})$. As a consequence of the transformation behaviour of certain tropical functions $M^{B,E}_{\mathbf{i},a}$ under the tropicalization of the map (44) Gleizer and Postnikov show in loc. cit. that the vectors associated to rigorous paths are the defining inequalities of the string cones $\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i}$. One finds that $M^{\mathbf{i},a}_{a,a+1}$ coincides with the tropicalization of $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{a},\mathbf{i}}$ and thus by Theorem 2.16 and [GP00, Theorem 5.11] we obtain the first part of Theorem 4.3 stating $\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{R}^*(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})^{\mathrm{pol}}$.

Remark 4.5. Using the results of [R97, GP00] the equality

$$\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{R}^*(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})^{\mathrm{pol}}$$

was already obtained by Zelikson for the special case of reduced words adapted to quivers (see [Z13]). He conjectured this relation for simply laced Lie algebras and reduced words adapted to quivers satisfying a certain homological condition. We conjecture that this relation holds for all simple Lie algebras and arbitrary reduced words, i.e. the string cone $\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{i}$ is polar to the cone spanned by the vectors of the form $f^*_a x - x$ for an $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig datum x (see [Z13, Section 7] for an example in type D).

Example 4.6. We give an example for $n = 3$. Consider the following tiling corresponding to the reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (2,1,2)$.



The ordering of the tiles in the 3-order is as follows:

$$[2,3] \leq_3 [1,3] \leq_3 [1,2].$$

We label the coordinates with respect to that order. The dual Reineke vectors are given by

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}) = \{(1,0,0),(0,1,-1),(0,0,1)\}.$$

We obtain by Theorem 4.3 the inequalities for the string cone for this reduced word (see e.g. [L98]):

$$v_{2,3} \geq 0 \qquad\qquad v_{1,3} \geq v_{1,2} \geq 0.$$

5. Application 3: Potential functions for cluster varieties and crystal operations

In Section 4 we associated for a reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ and $a \in [n-1]$ the functions $\mathrm{r_a}(x) = \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{a},\mathbf{i}}(x) = \sum_{y\in\mathcal{R}^*_a(\mathcal{T}_\mathbf{i})} x^y$ on the tori $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{S},\mathbf{i}}$ encoding the crystal operations on $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig data. In this section we relate this function to potentials arising from the theory of geometric crystals

in [BK07] and from mirror symmetry for cluster varieties in [GHKK14]. The relation is given by simple changes of coordinates provided by maps introduced by Berenstein and Zelevinsky (see [BZ01, Theorem 4.8]) to solve certain factorization problems.

This yields explicit description of these potential functions written in coordinates of the tori of the cluster variety N associated to reduced words as well as the coordinate transformation translating one potential function to the other. Further we obtain unimodular identification of the cones defined by the tropicalizations of the potential functions with the string cones $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{i}}$.

5.1. **Unipotent radicals as cluster varieties.** Let

$$G^{e,w_0} = (B_+ e B_+) \cap (B_- w_0 B_-)$$

where B_+, B_- are a pair of opposite Borel subalgebras. The intersection

$$L^{e,w_0} = B_- w_0 B_- \cap N$$

coincides with the non-vanishing locus of the upper right minors in N and is called the *reduced double Bruhat cell associated to* e, w_0. In [BFZ05] a cluster algebra structure $\mathcal{A}$ on the coordinate ring of L^{e,w_0} is defined.

Following Fock-Goncharov [FZ02, FZ07, FG09] we endow L^{e,w_0} with mirror dual structures of a cluster $\mathcal{A}$-variety and a cluster $\mathcal{X}$-variety. To each seed Σ of $\mathcal{A}$ there are associated tori $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma := \mathbb{G}_m^\Sigma$ and $\check{\mathbb{T}}_\Sigma := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}, \mathbb{T}_\Sigma), \mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m})$.

The families $(\mathbb{T}_\Sigma)$ and $(\check{\mathbb{T}}_\Sigma)$, where Σ runs over the set of all seeds of $\mathcal{A}$, are up to codimension 2 open coverings of L^{e,w_0}. The birational transition maps $\mu^\Sigma_{\Sigma'}, \check{\mu}^\Sigma_{\Sigma'}$ are given as follows. Assume that the exchange quiver Q' of the seed Σ' is obtained from the exchange quiver Q of the seed Σ by cluster mutation at a vertex k. Let I be a set containing k which consistantly labels both the vertices Q and Q'. Then (see [FG09, equations (13) and (14)]

$$\left(\mu^\Sigma_{\Sigma'}\right)^* : \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{T}_{\Sigma'}\right) \to \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{T}_\Sigma\right), \quad \left(\mu^\Sigma_{\Sigma'}\right)^*(y_i) = \begin{cases} \prod\limits_{j:\delta_{j,k}=1} \dfrac{x_j}{x_k} + \prod\limits_{j:\varepsilon_{j,k}=-1} \dfrac{x_j}{x_k} & \text{if } i = k, \\ x_i & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\check{\mu}^\Sigma_{\Sigma'}\right)^* : \mathbb{C}\left(\check{\mathbb{T}}_{\Sigma'}\right) \to \mathbb{C}\left(\check{\mathbb{T}}_\Sigma\right), \quad \left(\check{\mu}^\Sigma_{\Sigma'}\right)^*(y_i) = \begin{cases} x_k^{-1} & \text{if } i = k, \\ x_i \left(1 + x_k^{\varepsilon_{i,k}}\right)^{\varepsilon_{i,k}} & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{i,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is an arrow from } k \text{ to } i \text{ in } Q, \\ -1 & \text{if there is an arrow from } i \text{ to } k \text{ in } Q, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We recall from [DaKK10] the construction of a seed $\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ for each tiling $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$. To each vertex x_S of the tiling we associate the chamber minor $\Delta_S = \Delta_S^{[|S|]}$ corresponding to the first $|S|$ rows and the columns with labels in the set S. Note that all minors associated to vertices on the left boundary of $\mathcal{T}$ take the value 1 on L^{e,w_0}. Let $V(\mathcal{T})$ be the set of vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ that do not lie on the left boundary of $\mathcal{T}$. As in (34) we identify the vertices of a tiling with a subset of $\mathcal{P}([n])$. The collection of chamber minors $\{\Delta_S \mid x_S \in V(\mathcal{T})\}$ associated to the vertices $V(\mathcal{T})$ is the set of A cluster variables in the seed $\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}}$ where the chamber minors corresponding to the vertices on the right boundary are the frozen variables.

We denote the tori associated to seeds corresponding to the reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ by $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{G}_m^{V(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}})}$ and $\check{\mathbb{T}} = \check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}, \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{i}}), \mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m})$.

The exchange graph for $\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}}$ can be obtained from $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in the following way. The vertices of the exchange graph are the vertices of the tiling. Using the notation of Section 3 we draw

for each tile $T \in \mathcal{T}$ an arrow pointing from $\ell(T)$ to $r(T)$. The edges of $\mathcal{T}$ get oriented such that we have oriented cycles $\ell(T) \to r(T) \to o(T) \to \ell(T)$ and $\ell(T) \to r(T) \to u(T) \to \ell(T)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$. If this procedure does not yield a unique orientation for an edge of $\mathcal{T}$ this edge gets deleted. A choice of initial seed Σ_0 is given by the vertices of the tiling $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ corresponding to the lexicographically minimal reduced word $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i}_0 = (1, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, \ldots, n{-}1, n{-}2, \ldots, 2, 1)$.

Example 5.1. We give an example for $n = 3$ of the chamber minors in the initial seed Σ_0 for the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}$ which are in correspondence with the vertices in $V(\mathcal{T}_0)$ where $\mathcal{T}_0$ is the tiling associated to the word $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1)$.



The corresponding exchange graph is given as follows.



5.2. **Berenstein-Kazhdan potential functions and Reineke vectors.** Let $\chi : N \to \mathbb{G}_a$ be the character $\chi(u) = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} u_{a,a+1}$. The restricting of χ to a function f_χ on L^{e,w_0} reads in terms of chamber minors as follows:

$$f_\chi := \sum_{a \in [n-1]} f_{\chi,a} \quad \text{where} \tag{50}$$
$$f_{\chi,a} := \frac{\Delta_{\{n-a,n-a+2,n-a+3,\ldots,n\}}}{\Delta_{\{n-a+1,n-a+2,\ldots,n\}}},$$

which is an part of data equpping L^{e,w_0} with the structure of a decorated unipotent crystal in the sense of Berenstein-Kazhdan (see [BK07]). We call f_χ the *Berenstein-Kazhdan (BK) potential*.

A seed Σ of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of the cluster variables $\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_N\}$ is *optimized* for the frozen index i if c_i is a frozen variable and in the corresponding exchange graph all arrows between non-frozen vertices and c_i point towards c_i. We have:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tiling and $\Sigma_\mathcal{T}$ be the corresponding seed. The seed $\Sigma_\mathcal{T}$ is optimized for $\Delta_{n-a+1,\ldots,n}$ if and only if the tile $[a, a+1]$ intersects the right boundary of $\mathcal{T}$ in two edges. Let $(\mathcal{T}_a)_{a \in [n-1]}$ be a collection of tilings such that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{T}_a}$ is optimized for $\Delta_{n-a+1,\ldots,n}$, then*

$$f_{\chi,a} = \frac{\Delta_{\ell[a,a+1]_{\mathcal{T}_a}}}{\Delta_{r[a,a+1]_{\mathcal{T}_a}}}.$$

Proof. This follows directly from the construction of the exchange graph for the seed $A_\mathcal{T}$ given in Section 5.1. □

The BK potential f_χ is related to the function $\mathrm{r}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{i}}$ arising from the crystal operations (see (47)) via the maps $\iota_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{S},\mathbf{i}})$ (see [BZ01, Equation (4.14)]) given by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{G}_m^{V(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}})} &\to \mathbb{G}_m^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{S},\mathbf{i}} \\ x &\mapsto \left(\frac{x_{\ell(T)}}{x_{r(T)}}\right)_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where $\ell(T)$ and $r(T)$ are defined in (36). The family $(\iota_{\mathbf{i}})$ is compatible with $\mathcal{A}$-mutations in the following sense:

Proposition 5.3. *Let* $\mathbf{i}$ *and* $\mathbf{j}$ *be two reduced words for* w_0 *such that* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ *and* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{j}}$ *are obtained from each other by a flip of a hexagon* $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$*. Let* $\mu_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}$ *be the mutation at the vertex of* $A_{\mathbf{i}}$ *corresponding to the inner vertex of* $\mathcal{H}$*. Then the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{i}} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbf{i}}} & \mathbb{T}_{S,\mathbf{i}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Psi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}} \\ \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{j}} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbf{j}}} & \mathbb{T}_{S,\mathbf{j}}\,. \end{array}$$

Proof. The statement can be checked by direct computation. □

Using the dual Crossing Formula (Theorem 2.16) and Theorem 4.2 we obtain:

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $\mathbf{i}$ *be a reduced word for* w_0 *and* $a \in [n-1]$*. We have*

$$f_{\chi,a}\big|_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{i}}} = \mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{i}} \circ \iota_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{52}$$

Furthermore, the BK-potential $f_\chi\big|_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{i}}} = \sum_{a \in [n-1]} \mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{i}} \circ \iota_{\mathbf{i}}$ *is a Laurent polynomial with coefficients in* $\{0,1\}$ *and exponents in* $\{-1,0,1\}$ *under the change of variables* (51)*.*

Proof. In order to prove (52) it suffices by Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 5.3 to show the statement for the case that the tile $[a, a+1]$ intersects the right boundary of $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in two edges (i.e. $\mathbf{i}$ is commutation equivalent to a reduced word ending with i_a). In this case we have by the definition of $\mathrm{r}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{i}}$ and Lemma 5.2 $\mathrm{r}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{i}} = x_{[a,a+1]_{\mathcal{T}}} = f_{\chi,a} \circ \iota_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(x)$. By the dual Crossing Formula we obtain that the exponents of $\mathrm{r}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{i}}$ are contained in $\{-1,0,1\}$. □

Writing $\Lambda_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{i}})$ we associate to a seed Σ of $\mathcal{A}$ the polyhedral cone

$$\mathcal{C}_\Sigma^{\mathrm{BK}} := \{z \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{i}} \mid [f_{\chi,a}]_{\mathrm{trop}}\big|_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{i}}}(z) \geq 0\}.$$

Here $[]_{\mathrm{trop}}$ denotes the $(\min, +)$ tropicalization. For a seed Σ corresponding to a reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ we write $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{BK}} = \mathcal{C}_\Sigma^{\mathrm{BK}}$. From Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.4 we conclude:

Corollary 5.5. *For any reduced word* $\mathbf{i}$ *for* w_0 *we have*

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{i}} = [\iota_{\mathbf{i}}]_{\mathrm{trop}}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}^{BK}\right).$$

5.3. **Gross-Hacking-Keel-Kontsevich potential functions and Reineke vectors.** The unipotent radical N is the partial compactification of the reduced double Bruhat cell L^{e,w_0}:

$$N = L^{e,w_0} \bigsqcup \bigcup_{a \in [n-1]} D_a,$$

where D_a is the divisor corresponding to the frozen variable $\Delta_{n-a+1,\ldots,n}$. In [GHKK14] a Landau-Ginzburg potential $W = \sum_{a \in [n-1]} W_a$ on the $\mathcal{X}$ cluster variety associated to N is defined as the sum of certain global monomials W_a attached to the divisors D_a. Let

$\mathbf{i}_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}_{n-1}$ be reduced words for w_0 such that the simple root α_a is maximal w.r.t to the order $\leq_{\mathbf{i}_a}$ defined in (1). By Lemma 5.2 and [GHKK14, Corollary 9.17] we have

$$W_a\big|_{\check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}_a}} = x_{\mathbf{i},\{a,a+1,\dots,n\}}, \tag{53}$$

where we denote by $x_{\mathbf{i},S}$ the cluster variable corresponding to the vertex given by $S \subset [n]$ in the cluster associated to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$. We call W the GHKK-potential.

The potential W_a is related to the function $\mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{i}}$ arising from crystal operations (see (47)) via the map $\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}{}^* \in \mathrm{Hom}(\check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbb{T}_{S,\mathbf{i}})$ defined by

$$\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^* : \mathbb{T}_{S,\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{G}_m^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}} \to \mathbb{G}_m^{V(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}})} = \check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{54}$$

$$(x_T) \mapsto \left(\prod_T x_T^{\underline{\epsilon}(v,T)} \right)_v, \qquad \underline{\epsilon}(v,T) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \in \{\ell(T), r(T)\}, \\ -1 & \text{if } v \in \{o(T), u(T)\} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{55}$$

where $o(T), u(T), \ell(T)$ and $r(T)$ are defined in (36). The map $\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^*$ is the dual map of the Chamber Ansatz $\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{L},\mathbf{i}})$ of Berenstein, Fomin and Zelevinsky [BFZ96]. The family $(\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^*)$ is compatible with $\mathcal{X}$-mutations in the following sense:

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $\mathbf{i}$ *and* $\mathbf{j}$ *be two reduced words for* w_0 *such that* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ *and* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{j}}$ *are obtained from each other by a flip of a hexagon* $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$*. Let* $\check{\mu}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}$ *be the mutation at the vertex of* $A_{\mathbf{i}}$ *corresponding to the inner vertex of* $\mathcal{H}$*. Then the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T}_{S,\mathbf{i}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^*} & \check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Psi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \check{\mu}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}} \\ \mathbb{T}_{S,\mathbf{j}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{j}}^*} & \check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{j}}\,. \end{array}$$

Proof. The statement can be checked by direct computation. □

Using Theorem 4.2 and the enhanced AM-formula (Theorem 3.2) we obtain:

Theorem 5.7. *Let* $\mathbf{i}$ *be a reduced word for* w_0 *and* $a \in [n-1]$*. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{i}} = W_a\big|_{\check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}}} \circ \mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^*\,. \tag{56}$$

Furthermore, the GHKK-potential $W\big|_{\check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}}} = \sum_{a\in[n-1]} \mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{i}} \circ (\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^*)^{-1}$ *is a Laurent polynomial with coefficients and exponents in* $\{0,1\}$*.*

Proof. In order to prove (56) it suffices by Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 5.6 to show the statement for the case that the tile $[a, a+1]$ intersects the right boundary of $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in two edges (i.e. $\mathbf{i}$ is commutation equivalent to a reduced word ending with i_a). In this case we have by the definition of $\mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{i}}$ and (53) $\mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{i}}(x) = x_{[a,a+1]_{\mathcal{T}}} = W_a\big|_{\check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}}} \circ \mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^*(x)$. By the duality of $\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^*$ and $\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}$ we obtain

$$W_a\big|_{\check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}}}(x) = \mathrm{r}_{a,\mathbf{i}} \circ (\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^*)^{-1}(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{R}_a^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}})} x^{[\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}]_{\mathrm{trop}}^{-1} y}.$$

For $y \in \mathbf{R}_a^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}})$ there exists by definition $z \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ with $y = f_a z - z$, where f_a is the Kashiwara operator associated to the simple root α_a. By Theorem 3.2 we conclude for $S \subset [n]$

$$\left([\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}]_{\mathrm{trop}}^{-1} y \right)_S = \left(f_a [\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}]_{\mathrm{trop}}^{-1} z - [\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}]_{\mathrm{trop}}^{-1} z \right)_S \in \{0,1\}.$$

□

We write $\check{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}, \check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}})$. Gross, Hacking, Keel and Kontsevich construct in [GHKK14] a canonical basis $\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{GHKK}}$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{N}]$ and parametrizations of $\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{GHKK}}$ by the cones $\mathcal{C}_X^{\mathrm{GHKK}}$ associated to $\mathcal{X}$-cluster seeds Σ defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_X^{\mathrm{GHKK}} := \{z \in \check{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{i}} \mid [W]_{\mathrm{trop}}\big|_{\check{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{i}}}(z) \geq 0\}.$$

Here $[]_{\mathrm{trop}}$ denotes the $(\min, +)$ tropicalization. For a seed Σ corresponding to a reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ we write $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{GHKK}} := \mathcal{C}_{X_\Sigma}^{\mathrm{GHKK}}$ As a consequence of Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.7 we obtain:

Corollary 5.8. *For any reduced word* $\mathbf{i}$ *for* w_0 *we have*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}^{GHKK} = [\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^*]_{\mathrm{trop}}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{i}}).$$

From Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.7 we obtain the following relation between the potential functions f_χ arising from the theory geometric crystals and the potential function W arising from the partial compactification of L^{e,w_0}:

Corollary 5.9. *Let* $\mathbf{i}$ *be a reduced word for* w_0*. Then*

$$f_{\chi,a}\big|_{\check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{i}}} = W_a\big|_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{i}}} \circ \iota_{\mathbf{i}} \circ (\mathrm{CA}_{\mathbf{i}}^*)^{-1}.$$

Remark 5.10. One may wonder if the relation given in Corollary 5.9 arises from the coordinate change p between $\mathcal{A}-$ and $\mathcal{X}-$cluster variables given in [FG09, Equation (6)]. This is not the case since using the map in [FG09, Equation (6)] to expand W_a in $\mathcal{A}$-cluster variables, we obtain the function $\sum_{[a\in n-1]} \frac{\Delta_{w_0(s_a\omega_a)}}{\Delta_{w_0\omega_{a+1}}}$ which differs from the function f_χ. The reason for this is that the equality $\mathrm{CA}^*{}_{\mathbf{i}} \circ \iota_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} = p_{\mathbf{i}}$ only holds restricted to vertices which do not lie on the boundary of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$.

Remark 5.11. Let $\mathcal{T}_0$ be the tiling associated to the lexicographically minimal reduced word. In [M15, Corollary 21] the function $W\big|_{\check{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathcal{T}_0}}$ is explicitly computed. This description agrees with the one given by Theorem 5.7 in this case.

Remark 5.12. In [GHKK14] a result about the relation of the BK-potential function and W is announced to appear in [M]. Further Bossinger and Fourier informed us that a relation of the GHKK potential function for the cluster variety SL_n/N to the string cones will appear in [BF].

References

[BFZ96] Arkady Berenstein, Sergey Fomin, and Andrei Zelevinsky. Parametrizations of canonical bases and totally positive matrices. *Adv. in Math.*, 122 (1996), 49–149.

[BFZ05] Arkady Berenstein, Sergey Fomin, and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. III. Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells. *Duke Math. J.*, 126(1):1–52, 2005.

[BK07] Arkady Berenstein and David Kazhdan. Lecture notes on geometric crystals and their combinatorial analogues. *Combinatorial aspect of integrable systems, MSJ Memoirs*, 17, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2007

[BZ93] Arkady Berenstein and Andrei Zelevinsky. String bases for quantum groups of type A_r. In *I. M. Gel′fand Seminar*, volume 16 of *Adv. Soviet Math.*, pages 51–89. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[BZ01] Arkady Berenstein and Andrei Zelevinsky. Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 143(1):77–128, 2001.

[BF] Lara Bossinger and Ghislain Fourier. String cone and Superpotential combinatorics for flag varieties and Schubert varieties. In preparation.

[DKK10] Vladimir I. Danilov, Alexander V. Karzanov, and Gleb A. Koshevoy. Plücker environments, wiring and tiling diagrams, and weakly separated set-systems. *Adv. Math.*, 224(1):1–44, 2010.

[DaKK10] Vladimir I. Danilov, Alexander V. Karzanov, and Gleb A. Koshevoy. Generalized tilings and Plücker cluster algebras *Lattice Path Combinatorics*, University Siena, 2010

[E97] Serge Elnitsky. Rhombic tilings of polygons and classes of reduced words in Coxeter groups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 77(2):193–221, 1997.

[FG09] V. V. Fock, A. B. Goncharov. Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm. *Annales Sci. de. l'Ecole Norm. Sup.* **42** (2009), 865–930.

[FZ02] Sergey Fomin, and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras I: Foundations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (2002), 497–529.

[FZ07] Sergey Fomin, and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients. *Compos. Math.*, 143 (2007), 112–164.

[GKS] Volker Genz, Gleb Koshevoy and Bea Schumann. Combinatorics of canonical bases revisited: Nice and special words in type A. In preparation.

[GP00] Oleg Gleizer and Alexander Postnikov. Littlewood-Richardson coefficients via Yang-Baxter equation. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (14):741–774, 2000.

[GHKK14] Mark Gross, Paul Hacking, Sean Keel, and Maxim Kontsevich. Canonical bases for cluster algebras. preprint 2014. arXiv:1411.1394.

[K07] Joel Kamnitzer. The crystal structure on the set of Mirković-Vilonen polytopes, *Adv. Math.* 215 (2007), no. 1, 66–93.

[K93] Masaki Kashiwara. The crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula. *Duke Math. J.*, 71(3):839–858, 1993.

[K94] Masaki Kashiwara. On crystal bases. *Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994)*, volume 16 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 155–197. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[LZ98] Bernard Leclerc and Andrei Zelevinsky. Quasicommuting families of quantum Plucker coordinates. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (2), Vol. 181, Kirillov's Seminar on Representation Theory, 85- 108, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998. MR 99g:14066.

[L98] Peter Littelmann. Cones, crystals, and patterns. *Transform. Groups*, 3(2):145–179, 1998.

[L90] George Lusztig. Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(2):447–498, 1990.

[Lu90] George Lusztig. Finite-dimensional Hopf algebras arising from quantized universal enveloping algebra. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(1):257–296, 1990.

[L93] George Lusztig. Introduction to quantum groups. *Birkhäuser*, 1993.

[M15] Timothy Magee. Fock-Goncharov conjecture and polyhedral cones for $U \subset SL_n$ and base affine space SL_n/U. preprint 2015, arXiv:1502.03769v1

[M] Timothy Magee. Ph. D. thesis in preparation.

[NZ97] Toshiki Nakashima and Andrei Zelevinsky. Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for quantized Kac-Moody algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 131(1):253–278, 1997.

[R97] Markus Reineke. On the coloured graph structure of Lusztig's canonical basis. *Math. Ann.*, 307(4):705–723, 1997.

[S12] Yoshihisa Saito. Mirković-Vilonen polytopes and a quiver construction of crystal basis in type A. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (17):3877–3928, 2012.

[Z13] Shmuel Zelikson. On crystal operators in Lusztig's parametrizations and string cone defining inequalities. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 55(1):177–200, 2013.

Mathematical Institute, University of Cologne
E-mail address: vgenz@math.uni-koeln.de

CEMI Russian Academy of Sciences, MCCME and Poncelet laboratory (CNRS and IMU)
E-mail address: koshevoy@cemi.rssi.ru

Mathematical Institute, University of Cologne
E-mail address: bschuman@math.uni-koeln.de